

03.01-12/21/95-02935

OU # 16

Baker

Baker Environmental, Inc.
Airport Office Park, Building 3
420 Rouser Road
Coraopolis, Pennsylvania 15108

December 21, 1995

(412) 269-6000
FAX (412) 269-2002

CTO - 344

Commander
Atlantic Division
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
1510 Gilbert Street (Building N-26)
Norfolk, Virginia 23511-2699

Attn: Mr. Lance Laughmiller
Navy Technical Representative
Code 18236

Re: Contract N62470-89-D-4814
Navy CLEAN, District III
Contract Task Order (CTO) 0344
RI/FS Project Plans for
Operable Unit No. 16 (Sites 89 and 93)
MCB Camp Lejeune, North Carolina
Meeting Minutes

Dear Mr. Laughmiller:

Attached are the meeting minutes for the December 18, 1995 conference call held to discuss the final Sample Strategy Plan for Operable Unit No. 16 (Sites 89 and 93). These minutes document the discussion between representatives from LANTDIV, USEPA Region IV, NC DEHNR, and Baker Environmental, Inc. (Baker). A representative from MCB Camp Lejeune was not available for the call.

Baker appreciates the opportunity to serve LANTDIV on this important project. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (412) 269-2053.

Sincerely,

BAKER ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.

Matthew D. Bartman

Matthew D. Bartman
Activity Coordinator

MDB/lq

cc: Ms. Beth Collier, Code 02115 (w/o attachment)
Ms. Karen Wilson, Code 183 (w/o attachment)



A Total Quality Corporation

**Meeting Minutes
Conference Call
Operable Unit 16 (Site 89 and 93)
MCB Camp Lejeune
December 18, 1995**

Participants:

Mr. Lance Laughmiller	-	LANTDIV
Ms. Gena Townsend	-	USEPA Region IV
Mr. Patrick Watters	-	NCDEHNR
Mr. Matt Bartman	-	Baker Environmental
Ms. Heather DeBolt	-	Baker Environmental
Mr. Don Shields	-	Baker Environmental

The Conference Call began at approximately 9:00 a.m.

Mr. Bartman began with an introduction. He pointed out that OU 16 now includes Sites 89 and 93. Sites 90, 91 and 92 have been combined into OU 17. Mr. Laughmiller indicated that, due to funding concerns, LANTDIV chose to focus their efforts in the Camp Geiger area, where other projects are ongoing. There levels of contamination and current risks from Sites 90, 91, and 92 do not generate the degree of concern that Sites 89 and 93 do.

Mr. Bartman referred to the previous partnering meeting where other ongoing investigations at Camp Geiger were discussed. Mr. Bartman stressed that the scope of work for OU 16 was designed to contribute to a better overall understanding of the Camp Geiger area and not just the individual sites. This is being driven primarily by the presence of VOCs in Edwards Creek detected during the Site 44 investigation. Mr. Laughmiller noted that the efforts of the investigation would focus mainly on groundwater, not sources of contamination in soil.

Ms. Townsend expressed concern that one intermediate well per site may not be sufficient to characterize deeper groundwater characteristics and contamination. After some discussion regarding a variety of approaches, Mr. Laughmiller agreed to adding three intermediate wells to the proposed sampling at the following locations:

89-MW04
89-MW05
93-MW07

Mr. Watters and Ms. Townsend agreed that this was an acceptable approach and added that this would provide additional information regarding Site 44.

Mr. Laughmiller and Mr. Bartman discussed the need for a site-walk for the Camp Geiger area. The parties agreed to conduct this during the upcoming partnering meeting and that at a time prior to the investigation and possibly as part of an upcoming field effort Baker personnel should walk this area to determine discharge points and inventory activities conducted at buildings in the Camp Geiger area.

Ms. Townsend and Mr. Bartman discussed the analytical program, particularly the percentage of pesticide/PCB analyses. Ms. Townsend indicated that the analytical program presented in the scoping report was appropriate and that although pesticide/PCB contamination was not suspected the presence or absence cannot be confirmed without analytical results. Mr. Bartman informed Mr. Laughmiller that the additional cost for the pesticide/PCB analysis would be minor to the overall budget and would be beneficial in eliminating these contaminants in future matters.

Ms. Townsend and Mr. Watters both expressed satisfaction with the Scope of Work. Mr. Bartman indicated that work would begin immediately on the Draft Project Plans.

The conference call ended at approximately 9:30 a.m.