

03.01-10/20/93-01024



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
ATLANTIC DIVISION  
NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND  
1510 GILBERT ST  
NORFOLK VA 23511-2699

TELEPHONE NO:

(804) 322-4818

IN REPLY REFER TO:

5090

1823:KHL:srw

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

OCT 20 1993

North Carolina Department of Environment,  
Health, and Natural Resources  
Attn: Mr. Patrick Watters  
P.O. Box 27687  
401 Oberlin Road  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27611

Re: MCB Camp Lejeune; Response to NCDEHNR Comments on the  
Draft Interim Remedial Action RI/FS Project Plans for  
Operable Unit No. 10 (Site 35) - Camp Geiger Area Fuel  
Farm

Dear Mr. Watters:

This letter addresses your comments on the above referenced  
project. Navy/Marine Corps responses are attached. These  
comments have been incorporated in the Draft Final version of the  
document (issued by Baker on 10/7/93) which you should have  
already received under separate cover.

Any questions concerning these responses should be directed to  
Ms. Katherine Landman at (804) 322-4818.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "L. A. Boucher".

L. A. BOUCHER, P.E.  
Head

Installation Restoration Section  
(South)  
Environmental Programs Branch  
Environmental Quality Division  
By direction of the Commander

Attachment

Copy to:  
EPA Region IV (Ms. Gena Townsend)  
MCB Camp Lejeune (Mr. Neal Paul)  
Activity Admin Record File

**Responses to Comments Submitted by the  
NCDEHNR on the Draft Interim Remedial Action RI/FS Project Plan  
Operable Unit No. 10, Site 35 - Camp Geiger Area Fuel Farm  
Marine Corps Base, Camp Lejeune, North Carolina  
Comment Letter Dated September 24, 1993**

**Responses to Comments**

1. A reference to the full RI/FS has been added to Section 1.1 (Purpose of the RI/FS) to clarify the relationship of the Interim Remedial Action RI/FS and the full RI/FS.
2. A statement has been added to Section 3.4 (Sample Analysis and Validation) indicating the rationale for specifying Level III versus Level IV data. This level of QA/QC is deemed appropriate in this case because this data is needed primarily to aid in the evaluation of remedial alternatives. Sufficient Level IV data will be obtained under the full site-wide RI/FS to support the risk assessment and provide for the delineation of the extent of contamination.
3. The text has been modified to clarify the reference to the Interim Remedial Action RI/FS.
4. The text has been modified to indicate that these samples will be analyzed as Level III data as per the rationale provided above in the response to comment 2.