03.01-12/10/91-00060



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

ATLANTIC DIVISION

NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND

NORFOLK, VIRGINIA 23511-6287

TELEPHONE NO:

(804) 445-1814

IN REPLY REFER TO:

5090

1822:LAB:srw

10 DEC 1991

REGISTERED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Waste Management Division
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Attn: Mr. Carl Froede
345 Courtland Street, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30365

Re: MCB Camp Lejeune; Draft RI/FS Work Plan for Sites 6, 48, and 69

Dear Mr. Froede:

This correspondence is in reference to a telephone conversation between our Ms. Laurie Boucher and yourself of November 22, 1991 concerning the Remedial Investigation at Site 69 (Rifle Range Chemical Dump) at MCB Camp Lejeune.

On December 1, 1991, we forwarded to EPA Region IV draft project plans (Work Plan, Sampling and Analysis Plan, and Health and Safety Plan) for Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) work at Sites 6, 48, and 69. As we discussed during our telephone conversation, these project plans reflect a nonintrusive field approach at Site 69 (Rifle Range Chemical Dump). This letter serves to document our present difficulties with conducting additional invasive field work at Site 69 at this time.

Site 69 is a chemical dump which has the high likelihood of containing chemical surety agents. The complexities of dealing with such materials presents several problems.

One key issue involves worker health and safety. Although we have been discussing this subject with the technical experts [U.S. Army Armament, Munitions, and Chemical Command (AMCCOM); U.S. Army Technical Escort Unit (TEU); and U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency (USATHAMA)], we have not yet pieced together all the components that would ensure the safety of our workers.

Another key issue involves the proper securing and storage of chemical surety material uncovered during such an investigation. In our discussions with the TEU and USATHAMA, we've discovered the following:

Re: MCB Camp Lejeune; Draft RI/FS Work Plan for Sites 6, 48, and 69

- a. If the TEU uncovers chemical surety material or suspect chemical surety material during a site characterization, the TEU is required to secure this material, i.e., the TEU cannot leave the chemical surety material in place.
- b. Chemical surety material removed from a waste site is/may be classified as a regulated hazardous waste.
- c. Presently, DoD chemical surety storage units do not have RCRA permits for storage of hazardous waste. Therefore, no locations are available to accept such chemical surety material.

The issues of worker health and safety and the proper storage of chemical surety material have not been resolved at this point. Along with these issues, we need to further clarify the appropriate site investigation guidelines and ultimate disposal technologies. We have requested per our letter to AMCCOM dated November 19, 1991 that the U.S. Army assist us resolving these issues.

These critical issues need to be resolved by the Departments of Navy and Army before it is appropriate to begin any intrusive on-site work. Due to past site monitoring efforts, remoteness from population and the security fencing, this site does not appear to present an imminent hazard situation. The safest course of action is to define the site as best as possible using non-invasive field techniques (e.g., geophysics; ground penetrating radar, groundwater samples from existing site wells, surface water, and sediment sampling) until these issues have been resolved. We will provide EPA an update on our planned non-invasive field techniques in our quarterly progress reports. Further, any input EPA could provide to help us resolve these issues or suggestions on other non-invasive technical approaches would be appreciated.

Our point of contact for questions concerning this matter is Ms. Laurie Boucher, P.E., at (804) 445-1814.

Sincerely,

P. A. RAKOWSKI, P.E.

Head

Environmental Programs Branch Environmental Quality Division By direction of the Commander Re: MCB Camp Lejeune; Draft RI/FS Work Plan for Sites 6, 48, and 69

Copy to:
N.C. DEHNR (Attn: Mr. Jack Butler)
MCB Camp Lejeune (AC/S, Environmental Management)

Doc No: CLEJ - 00060-3.05 - 12/10/91

SENDER: Complete items 1 and 2 when additional services are desired, and complete items 3 and 4. Put your address in the "RETURN TO" Space on the reverse side. Failure to do this will prevent this card from being returned to you. The return receipt fee will provide you the name of the person delivered to and the date of delivery. For additional fees the following services are available. Consult postmaster for fees and check box(es) for additional service(s) requested. Show to whom delivered, date, and addressee's address. 2. Restricted Delivery †(Extra charge)†			
3. Article Addressed to:	4. Article Number		
State of North Carolina	P 681 351 916		
Dept. of Environment, Health, and Natural Resources Division of Solid Waste Mgmt.	Type of Service: Registered Insured Certified COD Express Mail		
P.O. Box 27687 Raleigh, NC 27611-7687	Always obtain signature of addressee or agent and <u>DATE DELIVERED</u> .		
5. Signature - Addressee	8. Addressee's Address (ONLY if requested and fee paid)		
6. Signeture - Agent			
× an C. Kudd			
7. Date of Delivery			
PS Form 3811, Mar. 1987 + U.S.GP.O. 1967-178-268	DOMESTIC RETURN RECEIPT		

L81 351 916

RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL

NO INSURANCE COVERAGE PROVIDED NOT FOR INTERNATIONAL MAIL

Codes.d.8.22.4883.488851 EDO	State of North Carolina Spept. of Environment, Healt and Natural Resources Div. of Solid Waste Mgmt. P.O. Box 27687 P.A. Salesha Z. Coof 611-7687		
S.682	(Attn: Mr. Jack Bu Postage	s s	1
Sples	Certified Fee		
	Special Delivery Fee		1
	Restricted Delivery Fee		
	Return Receipt Showing to whom and Date Delivered		
1982	Return receipt showing to whom, Date, and Address of Delivery	<u>}</u> .	
Feb.	TOTAL Postage and February	\$	
PS Form 3800, Feb. 1982	Postmark or Date		