

03.01-10/29/93-

Baker Environmentar, mo. Airport Office Park, Building 3 420 Rouser Road Coraopolis, Pennsylvania 15108

(412) 269-6000 FAX (412) 269-2002

June 29, 1993

Commander Atlantic Division Naval Facilities Engineering Command 1510 Gilbert Street Norfolk, Virginia 23511-2699

Attn: Ms. Linda Berry, P.E. Code 1823

Re: Contract N62470-89-D-4814 Navy CLEAN, District III Contract Task Order (CTO) 0160 RI/FS Project Plans for Operable Units 7 & 10 Marine Corps Base, Camp Lejeune, North Carolina

Dear Ms. Berry:

Attached are the minutes to the June 16, 1993 meeting for the referenced contract task order. Copies of these minutes have been forwarded to Mr. Neal Paul (MCB Camp Lejeune).

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (412) 269-2016.

Sincerely,

BAKER ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.

Daniel L. Bonk, P.E. Project Manager

DLB/nd Attachment

cc: Mr. Keith Simmons, P.E., Code 0223 (without attachment)
Ms. Lee Anne Rapp, Code 183 (without attachment)
Mr. Neal Paul (with attachment)



Meeting Minutes CTO-0160 RI/FS Scoping Meeting for Operable Units 7 & 10 Marine Corps Base, Camp Lejeune, North Carolina

June 16, 1993

A meeting was conducted at LANTDIV's office in Norfolk, Virginia on June 16, 1993 to follow-up on issues pertaining to Operable Unit No. 10 (Site 35 - Camp Geiger Area Fuel Farm) and the new highway proposed in this area by the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT).

The following persons were in attendance:

Ms. Linda Berry, LANTDIV Mr. Neal Paul, MCB, Camp Lejeune Mr. Peter Burger, North Carolina DEHNR Ms. Michelle Glenn, EPA Region IV Mr. Raymond Wattras, Baker Environmental, Inc. Mr. Daniel Bonk, Baker Environmental, Inc.

The following summary is based on notes taken at the meeting by Dan Bonk of Baker.

Soil Removal Action Scenarios

The primary topic of discussion was the proper means under which to evaluate/conduct a removal action for fuel and oil impacted soil in the drainage ditches and along Brinson Creek north of the ASTs. During several recent site visits Baker and Camp Lejeune staff observed conditions in this area that, according to the Navy/Marine Corps Installation Restoration Manual (February 1992), make a removal action appropriate. Two of the conditions cited were:

- Actual or potential exposure of nearby human populations, animals, or food chains from hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants; and
- High levels of hazardous substances or pollutants or contaminants in soils largely at or near the surface, that may migrate.

Ray Wattras and Dan Bonk reviewed the various scenarios under which a removal action could be implemented. These scenarios were summarized in correspondence from Baker (Dan Bonk) to LANTDIV (Linda Berry) dated June 8, 1993 and included the following:

- Time-Critical Removal Action
- Non-Time Critical Removal Action
- Interim Remedial Measure

Ray Wattras and Dan Bonk indicated that either the Non-Time Critical Removal Action or the Interim Remedial Measure would be appropriate as a means for addressing soil remediation. Michelle Glenn argued convincingly that the most appropriate scenario under which to conduct the removal action was an Interim Remedial Measure (IRM). IRMs have the most firmly established legal basis and are the most familiar to the EPA and NCDEHNR.

An IRM is preceded by an Interim RI/FS which, in this case would be focused on the oil and fuel impacted soil identified in previous investigations at Site 35.

Proposed Highway

Neal Paul of Camp Lejeune lead a discussion concerning the two routes currently under consideration by the Base and the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT). At present, NCDOT appears to be favoring a route that will result in the construction of the highway through the existing Fuel Farm located west of Brinson Creek. This represents a modification to NCDOT's original recommendation that the highway follow a course slightly further to the east that would involve construction along a portion of the Brinson Creek streambed.

The advantages and disadvantages of both routes were discussed based on the environmental considerations at Site 35. The consensus of those present at the meeting was that the more westerly route through the existing Fuel Farm (currently being favored by the NCDOT) would present less difficulties in remediating both soil and groundwater. The major concerns with the other route along Brinson Creek involved dewatering the contaminated shallow groundwater during construction and the excavation of potentially contaminated soft soils along the stream bed.

Neal Paul requested that Baker prepare a Point Paper regarding the environmental advantages, disadvantages, and costs associated with the two proposed routes. The Point Paper needed to be submitted for Neal Paul's review tomorrow and would ultimately be presented to the Camp Lejeune Commanding General for information purposes.

Interim RI/FS

Based on the meeting discussions, Linda Berry gave Baker verbal authorization to prepare a cost modification letter and to initiate work on the Interim RI/FS Work Plan. The Interim RI/FS Work Plan would be submitted roughly concurrently with RI/FS Work Plans for Sites 1, 28, and 30 (Operable Unit No. 7) and Site 35 (Operable Unit No. 10).

Action Items

- Baker will prepare a Point Paper outlining the advantages and disadvantages of the two proposed highway routes from a standpoint of soil and groundwater remediation.
- Baker will prepare a cost modification letter to perform the following additional work: preparation of an Interim RI/FS Work Plan; preparation of a Treatability Study Work Plan(s); performance of an Interim RI/FS field investigation to collect data to support the Interim FS; and, preparation of an Interim RI/FS Report.



Baker Environmental, Inc. Airport Office Park, Building 3 420 Rouser Road Coraopolis, Pennsylvania 15108

(412) 269-6000 FAX (412) 269-2002

June 29, 1993

Commander Atlantic Division Naval Facilities Engineering Command 1510 Gilbert Street (Building N-26) Norfolk, Virginia 23511-2699

- Attn: Ms. Beth Hacic Contracting Specialist Code 0223
- Re: Contract N62470-89-D-4814 Navy CLEAN, District III Contract Task Order (CTO) 0003 Modification No. 4 Disposal of Investigation Derived Waste MCB Camp Lejeune, North Carolina

Dear Ms. Hacic:

Baker Environmental, Inc. (Baker) is submitting a Final (negotiated) cost estimate (Attachment A) that reflects the disposal of investigation derived waste (IDW) generated during previous site investigations conducted at MCB Camp Lejeune. This cost estimate reflects the budget that was negotiated with LANTDIV on June 16, 1993.

Background Information

LANTDIV and MCB Camp Lejeune Environmental Management Division (EMD) provided Baker with an inventory of drums containing IDW (primarily soil drill cuttings and monitoring well development/purge water) generated during site investigations conducted by various contractors (ESE, Inc., Halliburton-NUS, and Baker). According to this inventory, there are currently 223 drums of IDW at MCB Camp Lejeune. Approximately 157 of these drums are now stored at Lot 140. The remaining 66 drums are assumed to be at 9 sites of previous investigations located throughout the base.

The analytical results from the initial site investigations were also used to make a general estimate of contaminants present in drums of IDW. For example, the analytical results of soil boring samples collected from Site 3 were used to evaluate the contents of drums containing soil drill cuttings (IDW) generated at that site. The inventory information was used in conjunction with these analytical results to develop a Drum Sampling Strategy Plan. This plan was included in a correspondence to Mr. Byron Brant, P.E. (LANTDIV Engineer-in-Charge, Code 1823) dated March 26, 1992.



Baker

Ms. Beth Hacic June 29, 1993 Page 2

The objective of the Drum Sampling Strategy Plan was to determine the representative quantity of drums to sample and analysis required to provide sufficient information to complete Waste Profile Sheets for subsequent treatment/disposal of the IDW.

The following sections discuss the tasks required to sample, characterize, and coordinate disposal of the IDW drums.

Technical Approach

For costing purposes, nine tasks have been identified. These tasks are:

- Task 1 Project Management
- Task 2 Project Plan Development
- Task 3 Mobilization and Site Setup
- Task 4 Drum Moving
- Task 5 Drum Sampling
- Task 6 Laboratory Analysis
- Task 7 Hazardous Soil Disposal
- Task 8 Hazardous Water Disposal
- Task 9 Report

Please note that LANTDIV has indicated to Baker that MCB Camp Lejeune will handle the disposal of all nonhazardous soil and water. Therefore, Baker has deleted these two tasks from the Scope of Work (previously identified as Tasks 7 and 8).

A description of each task is provided below. For costing purposes, it is assumed that Baker personnel and subcontractors will conduct all field activities using Level D personal protection.

Task 1 - Project Management

Project Management involves such activities as daily technical support and guidance, budget and schedule review and tracking, preparation and review of invoices, manpower resources planning and allocation, and communication with LANTDIV and the Activity.

Task 2 - Project Plan Development

Project Plan Development activities include preparation of a Scope of Work (this Modification No. 3), a Field Sampling Plan, and a project-specific Health and Safety Plan.

Task 3 - Mobilization and Site Setup

Task 3 includes those activities associated with initiating the project. These activities include: subcontractor procurement, coordinating site access with Activity personnel, transporting equipment to the base, construction of a decontamination pad at Lot 140, and demobilization.

Baker

Ms. Beth Hacic June 29, 1993 Page 3

Task 4 - Drum Moving

The 157 IDW drums currently stored at Lot 140 are stacked (two drums high) on pallets. In order to conduct drum sampling (Task 5) the drums will have to be moved into a position where they can be accessed. It is assumed that the remaining drums, located at the various investigation sites, will be accessible and will not have to be moved.

As part of this task, Baker personnel will conduct a general inspection of the integrity of each drum. For costing purposes, it is assumed that 20% of the drums are in poor condition, requiring overpackaging prior to transportation. This estimate is based on visual inspection of the drums by Baker. It is also assumed that all drums will be clearly identified according to the inventory provided to Baker. Changes to the sampling and analysis scheme will be required if the contents of the drums are identifiable. This may result in increased analytical costs and labor costs.

Task 5 - Drum Sampling

Composite samples will be collected form a selected group of drums that are representative of the IDW currently stored on base. Composite samples will be collected from the drums containing soil drill cuttings. These samples will be analyzed for the following parameters:

> TCLP - Volatiles, Semivolatiles, Pesticides, Herbicides, and Metals Flashpoint Corrosivity (pH) Reactivity (Cyanide and Sulfide)

Composite samples will be collected from the drums containing water. These will be analyzed for RCRA characteristics.

Nine drums of "unknown origin," located at Site 6 (Lot 203) were identified for sampling in the Drum Sampling Strategy Plan. Management of these drums has been included in another investigation (CTO-0133). These drums will not be included in this project.

Task 6 - Laboratory Analyses

Task 6 includes costs associated with the laboratory analyses of the collected samples. A 14-day turnaround on analysis will be requested.

Task 7 - Hazardous Soil Disposal

Drums containing soil drill cuttings that are determined by the laboratory to exhibit RCRA hazardous characteristics will be transported to a central location on base (Lot 140). A subcontractor will load the drums onto a truck and transport them offsite to an approved facility for disposal.

Baker

Ms. Beth Hacic June 29, 1993 Page 4

For costing purposes, it is assumed that there will be 6 drums containing hazardous soil. Disposal costs will be dependent on the results of laboratory analyses. Disposal cost estimates range from \$500 to more than \$1000 per drum, depending on the characteristics of the drum contents.

Task 8 - Hazardous Water Disposal

Drums containing water that are determined by the laboratory to exhibit RCRA hazardous characteristics will be transported to a central location on base (Lot 140). A subcontractor will load the drums onto a truck and transport them offsite to an approved facility for disposal.

For costing purposes, it is estimated that there will be 6 drums containing hazardous water. Disposal costs will be dependent on the results of laboratory analyses. Disposal costs estimates range from \$500 to \$1000 per drum, depending on the characteristics of the drum contents.

Task 9 - Report

Baker will prepare a report outlining the IDW disposal activities. Results of laboratory analyses and waste disposal documentation (waste profile sheets, waste manifests) will be included with the report.

Schedule

It is estimated that IDW disposal activities (including the report) will require approximately 8 weeks to complete.

Budget

A cost estimate (negotiated) outlining labor and other direct costs for this modification is attached (Attachment A).

If you have any questions, please contact me at (412) 269-2007 or Mr. Raymond Wattras at (412) 269-2016.

Sincerely,

BAKER ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.

William D. Trimbath, P.E. Program Director

WDT/ST/nd Attachment

cc: Ms. Lee Anne Rapp, Code 183 (w/o attachment) Ms. Linda Berry, P.E., Code 1823

ATTACHMENT A.1 CONTRACT TASK ORDER 0003 MCB CAMP LEJEUNE DISPOSAL OF IDW

ESTIMATED DIRECT LABOR COST AND MANPOWER REQUIREMENTS

Labor Category	PROJECT	FIELD TEAM	GEOLOGIST/	HEALTH &	SUPPORT	SUPPORT		
	MANAGER	LEADER	ENGINEER	SAFETY	WORD	COPYING		
				OFFICER	PROCESSOR			
	P-4	P-2	P-1	P-2	A-1	A-1	TOTAL	TOTAL
Task/Subtask CLEAN Classifications								
Descriptions DL Rate: 1992	\$30.38	\$22.11	\$16.72	\$22.11	\$10.78	\$10.78		COST
TASK 1 - PROJECT MANAGEMENT	20				20	4	44	\$866.32
TASK 2 - PROJECT PLAN DEVELOPMENT	2	20		8	4	1	35	\$733.74
TASK 3 - MOB & SITE SETUP		36	36		1	1	74	\$1,419.44
TASK 4 - DRUM MOVING		20	20				40	\$776.60
TASK 5 - DRUM SAMPLING		14	14	14			42	\$853.16
TASK 6 - LABORATORY ANALYSES		10				1	11	\$231.88
TASK 7 - HAZARDOUS SOIL DISPOSAL		12	12		2		26	\$487.52
TASK 8 - HAZARDOUS WATER DISPOSAL		12	12		2		26	\$487.52
TASK 9 - REPORT	2	16			4	2	24	\$479.20
		140	04	22	33	9	322	
Total Baker Hours	24	140	<u>94</u>	\$486.42	\$355.74	\$97.02	322	\$6,335.38
Total Baker Cost	\$729.12	\$3,095.40	\$1,571.68	3400.42	5555.14	\$71.02	J	0,

COMPREHE' E LONG-TERM ENVIRONMENTAL ACTION 28-Jun-? GE 2

ATTACHMENT A.2 CONTRACT TASK ORDER 0003 MCB CAMP LEJEUNE DISPOSAL OF IDW ESTIMATED TRAVEL COSTS

	LODGING	MEALS	VEHICLE	AIR	ESTIMATE
	\$ 42.00	\$26.00	RENTAL	FARE \$400.00	TOTAL
Task/Subtask Description	\$42.00 Per Day	\$26.00 Per Day	\$200.00 Per Week	\$400.00 Per Trip	TRAVEL COSTS
	TCI Day				
TASK 1 - PROJECT MANAGEMENT					
TASK 2 - PROJECT PLAN DEVELOPMENT					
TASK 3 - MOB & SITE SETUP	4	4	1		\$472.00
TASK 4 - DRUM MOVING	4	4			\$272.00
TASK 5 - DRUM SAMPLING	6	8	1	1	\$1,060.00
TASK 6 - LABORATORY ANALYSES					
TASK 7 - HAZARDOUS SOIL DISPOSAL	1	2	1	1	\$694.00
TASK 8 - HAZARDOUS WATER DISPOSAL	1	1			\$68.00
TASK 9 - REPORT					
Total Baker Units	16	19	3	2	
Total Baker Cost	\$672.00	\$494.00	\$600.00	\$800.00	\$2,566.00

ATTACHMENT A.3 CONTRACT TASK ORDER 0003 MCB CAMP LEJEUNE DISPOSAL OF IDW ESTIMATED OTHER DIRECT COSTS

	POSTAGE REPORTS	SHIPPING SAMPLES	COPYING	COMPUTER TIME	EQUIPMENT COST	SUBCONTRACTOR	ANALYTICAL COSTS		
1992 Task/Subtask Description	\$22.50 Per Pkg	\$83.00 Per Unit	\$0.07 Per Page	\$10.00 Per Hour	(Ref. 1) Total Cost	(Ref. 2) Total Cost	(Ref. 3) Total Cost	TOTAL SUBCONTRACT	TOTAL OTHER DIRECT
TASK 1 - PROJECT MANAGEMENT	1		100						\$29.50
TASK 2 - PROJECT PLAN DEVELOPMENT	3		200	8					\$161.50
TASK 3 - MOB & SITE SETUP					\$1.901.92	\$947.00		\$947.00	\$2.848.92
TASK 4 - DRUM MOVING					\$100.00	\$5.000.00		\$5,000.00	\$5.100.00
TASK 5 - DRUM SAMPLING		2			\$150.00				\$316.00
TASK 6 - LABORATORY ANALYSES			200	8			\$10.550.00	\$10,550.00	\$10,644.00
TASK 7 - HAZARDOUS SOIL DISPOSAL			100		\$25.00	\$12,495.00		\$12,495.00	\$12,527.00
TASK 8 - HAZARDOUS WATER DISPOSAL			100		\$25.00	\$8,500.00		\$8,500.00	\$8,532.00
TASK 9 - REPORT	3		200	8					\$161.50
				_					
Total Baker Units	7	2	900	24	62.201.02	626.012.00	\$10,550.00	\$37,492.00	\$40,320.42
Total Baker Cost	\$157.50	\$166.00	\$63.00	\$240.00	\$2,201.92	\$26,942.00	\$10,550.00	\$37,492.00	\$40,520.42

COMPREHENSIVE LO*

ATTACHMENT A.3, REFERENCE #1 CONTRACT TASK ORDER 0003 MCB CAMP LEJEUNE DISPOSAL OF IDW

ESTIMATED EQUIPMENT COSTS

ESTIMATED EQUIPMENT COSTS					UNIT OVER	OVA	OVA CALIB	SAMPLING	SORBENT	NOTEBOOK	MISC.	GAS	TOTAL
		H&S	DECON	HNU METER	HNU CALIB KIT	UVA	KIT	EXPNDBLS	MATERIAL	HOLEBOOK	TOOLS	GENERATOR	EQUIPMENT
	1992	EXPNDBLS \$25.00	EXPNDBLS \$275.00	\$222.11	\$38.00	\$561.06	\$90.75	\$250.00	\$200.00	\$7.50	\$150.00	\$100.00	COST
The Local Developing	1992		PER EVENT		PER WEEK	PER WEEK	PER WEEK	PER EVENT	PER EVENT	EACH	PER EVENT	•	
Task/Subtask Description		FER MANDA	TER EVENT	I DR WEDR	I DIX WEDDIX	TOX TODAL	1210 0001						
TASK 1 - PROJECT MANAGEMENT													
TASK 2 - PROJECT PLAN DEVELOPMENT													
TASK 3 - MOB & SITE SETUP			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	- 1	\$1.901.92
TASK 4 - DRUM MOVING		4											\$100.00
TASK 5 - DRUM SAMPLING		6											\$150.00
TASK D - LABORATORY ANALYSES													
TASK 7 - HAZARDOUS SOIL DISPOSAL		1											\$25.00
TASK 8 - HAZARDOUS WATER DISPOSAL		1									-		\$25.00
TASK 9 - REPORT													
Total Baker Units		12	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	
Total Baker Cost		\$300.00	\$275.00	\$222.11	\$38.00	\$561.06	\$90.75	\$250.00	\$200.00	\$15.00	\$150.00	\$100.00	\$2,201.92

SE 4

28-Jun GE 5

ATTACHMENT A.3, REFERENCE #3 CONTRACT TASK ORDER 0003 MCB CAMP LEJEUNE DISPOSAL OF IDW SUMMARY OF ESTIMATED LABORATORY COSTS

	AQUEOU	SAMPLES			SOLID SA	TOTAL			
	NUMBER	NUMBER OF	UNIT	SUBTOTAL		NUMBER OF	UNIT	SUBTOTAL	COST
Description	OF TEST	QA/QC SMPLS.	PRICE	COST	OF TEST	QA/QC SMPLS.	PRICE	COST	
Description RCRA HAZARDOUS CHARACTERIZATION TCLP VOLATILES TCLP SEMIVOLATILES TCLP PESTICIDES TCLP HERBICIDES TCLP METALS IGNITABILITY CORROSIVITY (pH) REACTIVITY - CYANIDE REACTIVITY - SULFIDE	3		\$10.00	\$30.00	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	6 4 4 4 4	\$290.00 \$400.00 \$135.00 \$190.00 \$100.00 \$100.00 \$100.00 \$20.00	\$2,900.00 \$3,200.00 \$1,080.00 \$1,520.00 \$800.00 \$400.00 \$1400.00 \$140.00 \$80.00	\$2,900.00 \$3,200.00 \$1.080.00 \$1,520.00 \$400.00 \$430.00 \$140.00 \$80.00
TOTAL COST	3			\$30.00	36	22		\$10,520.00	\$10,550.00

(1) DISPOSAL COSTS FOR HAZARDOUS SOIL AND WATER WILL BE DEPENDENT ON RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES - COST ESTIMATES PROVIDED FOR PLANNING PURPOSES. ACTUAL COSTS MAY VARY SIGNIFICANTLY

	ESTIMATED	UNITS	UNIT	COSTS
DESCRIPTION	MINIMUM		PRICE	
	QUANTITY			
ROUND TRIP MOBILIZATION (TASK 3)	1	EACH	\$947.00	\$947.00
DAILY RATE (INCLUDES):				
-3-MAN CREW				
-DUMP TRUCK				
-SERVICE TRUCK				
-TRAILER				
-BACKHOE				
TASK 4 (DRUM MOVING)	2	DAYS	\$2,500.00	\$5,000.00
TASK 7 (HAZ SOIL)	1	DAYS	\$2,500.00	\$2,500.00
TASK 8 (HAZ WATER)	1	DAYS	\$2,500.00	\$2,500.00
DISPOSAL ESTIMATES (1)				
- HAZARDOUS SOIL (TASK 7)	6	DRUMS	\$1,000.00	\$6,000.00
- HAZARDOUS WATER (TASK 8)	6	DRUMS	\$1,000.00	\$6,000.00
OVERPACKS (TASK 7)	47	EACH	\$85.00	\$3,995.00
TOTAL SUBCONTRACTOR COST		<u> </u>		\$26,942.00

DISPOSAL OF IDW ESTIMATED SUBCONTRACTER COST

MCB CAMP LEJEUNE

COMPREHEN

CONTRACT TASK ORDER 0003

ATTACHMENT A.3, REFERENCE #2

ATTACHMENT A.4	
CONTRACT TASK ORDER 0003	
MCB CAMP LEJEUNE	
DISPOSAL OF IDW	
SUMMARY OF COST ESTIMATE	
1. TOTAL DIRECT LABOR COST	\$6,335.38
(REFER TO ATTACHMENT A.1)	
2. INDIRECT COST (DIRECT LABOR COST X 1.2242)	\$7,755.77
3. TOTAL DIRECT LABOR AND INDIRECT COSTS (LINES 1+2)	\$14,091.15
4. TOTAL ODCs-INCLUDING EQUIPMENT, EXCLUDING	\$2,828.42
SUBCONTRACTORS (REFER TO ATTACHMENT A.3)	
5. AWARD FEE (10% ON TOTALS, LINES 3+4)	\$1,691.96
6. TOTAL SUBCONTRACTOR COSTS	\$37,492.00
(REFER TO ATTACHMENT A.3)	
7. AWARD FEE ON SUBCONTRACTORS COSTS	\$1,874.60
(5% ON LINE 6)	
8. TRAVEL COSTS	\$2,566.00
(REFER TO ATTACHMENT A.2)	
9. TOTAL COST INCLUDING SUBCONTRACTORS,	\$56,977.57
EXCLUDING FEES (LINES 3+4+6+8)	
10. TOTAL AWARD FEE POOL (LINES 5+7)	\$3,566.56
11. TOTAL CTO COST INCLUDING FEE (LINES 9+10)	\$60,544.13

28-Jun-93 PAGE 8

t

ATTACHMENT A.5 CONTRACT TASK ORDER 0003 MCB CAMP LEJEUNE DISPOSAL OF IDW

ESTIMATED COST SUMMARY BY TASK

	1. TOTAL	2. INDIRECT	3. TOTAL	4. TOTAL	5. AWARD	6. TOTAL	7. AWARD	8. TRAVEL	9. TOTAL	10. TOTAL	11. TOTAL
	DIRECT	COST	DIRECT AND	0DCs	FEE	SUBCONTRACTOR	FEE ON	COSTS	COST	AWARD	COST
	LABOR		INDIRECT	(INCLUDING		COSTS	SUBCONTRACTORS			FEE	
	COST	(COL 1	COSTS	EQUIPMENT)	(10 % ON		(5 % ON		(COL 3+4		
	(ATT. A.1)	x (.2242)	(COL 1 + 2)	(ATT. A.3)	COLS 3 + 4)	(ATT. A.3)	COL 7)	(ATT. A.2)	+6+8)	(COL 5+7)	(CO1.9+10)
TASK 1 - PROJECT MANAGEMENT	\$866.32	\$1.060.55	\$1,926.87		\$192.69				\$1,926.87	\$192.69	\$2,119.56
		:		\$29.50	\$2.95				\$29.50	\$2.95	\$32.45
TASK 2 - PROJECT PLAN DEVELOPMENT	\$733.74	\$898.24	\$1,631.98		\$163.20				\$1.631.98	\$163.20	\$1,795 18
				\$161.50	\$16.15				\$161.50	\$16.15	\$177.65
TASK 3 - MOB & SITE SETUP	\$1,419.44	\$1.737.68	\$3,157.12		\$315.71		ĺ	\$472.00	\$3,629.12	\$315.71	\$3,944.83
				\$1,901.92	\$190.19	\$947.00	\$47.35		\$2.848.92	\$237.54	\$3,086.46
TASK 4 - DRUM MOVING	\$776.60	\$950.71	\$1,727.31		\$172 73			\$272.00	\$1,999.31	\$172.73	\$2.172.05
				\$100.00	\$10.00	\$5,000.00	\$250.00		\$5,100.00	\$260.00	\$5,360.00
TASK 5 - DRUM SAMPLING	\$853.16	\$1,044.44	\$1,897.60		\$189.76			\$1.060.00	\$2,957.60	\$189.76	\$3,147.36
				\$316.00	\$31.60				\$316.00	\$31.60	\$347.60
TASK 6 - LABORATORY ANALYSES	\$231.88	\$283.87	\$515.75		\$51.57				\$515.75	\$51.57	\$567.32
				\$94.00	\$9.40	\$10,550.00	\$527.50		\$10,644.00	\$536.90	\$11,180.90
TASK 7 - HAZARDOUS SOIL DISPOSAL	\$487.52	\$596.82	\$1,084.34		\$108.43			\$694.00	\$1,778.34	\$108.43	\$1,886.78
				\$32.00	\$3.20	\$12,495.00	\$624.75		\$12,527.00	\$627.95	\$13,154.95
TASK 8 - HAZARDOUS WATER DISPOSAL	\$487.52	\$596.82	\$1,084.34		\$108.43			\$68.00	\$1,152.34	\$108.43	\$1,260.78
				\$32.00	\$3.20	\$8,500.00	\$425.00		\$8,532.00	\$428.20	\$8,960.20
TASK 9 - REPORT	\$479.20	\$586.64	\$1,065.84		\$106.58				\$1,065.84	\$106.58	\$1,172.42
				\$161 <i>.5</i> 0	\$16.15			-	\$161.50	\$16.15	\$177.65
TOTAL COST	\$6,335.38	\$7,755.77	\$14,091.15	\$2,828.42	\$1,691.96	\$37,492.00	\$1,874.60	\$2,566.00	\$56,977.57	\$3,566.56	\$60,544.13