06.01-11/28-95-01574

State of North Carolina
Department of Environment,
Health and Natural Resources
Division of Solid Waste Management

James B. Hunt, Jr., Governor Jonathan B. Howes, Secretary William L. Meyer, Director



November 28, 1995

Commander, Atlantic Division

Naval Facilities Engineering Command

Code 1823

Attention:

MCB Camp Lejeune, RPM

Ms. Katherine Landman

Norfolk, Virginia 23511-6287

Commanding General

Attention:

AC/S, EMD/IRD

Marine Corps Base

PSC Box 20004

Camp Lejeune, NC 28542-0004

RE:

60 % Basis of Design Documents for the Remediation

of Pesticide Contaminated Soil at Operable Unit 11

(Site 80), MCB Camp Lejeune.

Dear Ms. Landman:

The referenced documents have been received and reviewed by the North Carolina Superfund Section. Our comments are attached. Please call me at (919) 733-2801 if you have any questions about this.

Sincerely,

Patrick Watters

Environmental Engineer

Superfund Section

Attachment

cc: Gena Townsend, US EPA Region IV

Neal Paul, MCB Camp Lejeune

Bruce Parris, DEHNR - Wilmington Regional Office

## 60 % Basis of Design for Remediation of Pesticide Contaminated Soil Operable Unit 11, Site 80 MCB Camp Lejeune Jacksonville, NC

## 1. General

The scheduled completion date for review of this Time Critical Removal Action (TCRA) design document was before the due date for the RI Report. As a result, I did not have time to become familiar with the results of the Remedial Investigation for Site 80, therefore I could not provide an in depth review of this design document. This sort of skewed document review schedule should be avoided in the future.

It is not clear if the southerly extent of the DDD and DDT contamination has been fully characterized. The highest level of DDD and DDT contamination was at location 80-DPA-SB03 which is in the last row of sampling points shown on Figure 7. Adequate confirmation samples need to be taken in this area after the TCRA to clearly show that cleanup levels have been met. Also, it was not clear if any samples had been taken to determine if the contamination extended across "Machine Shop Road" or "Golf Course Road".

The executive summary of the RI report indicates that arsenic is the secondary contributor to the soil risk values. The highest arsenic values seen in the surface soils are around the wash down area yet this area is not included as part of the TCRA. Please explain why the arsenic contaminated soils are not part of this TCRA.