04.09-12/21/94-0153

# FINAL

# **BASIS OF DESIGN**

# SOIL REMEDIATION AT OPERABLE UNIT NO. 10, SITE 35 MARINE CORPS BASE CAMP LEJEUNE, NORTH CAROLINA

## **CONTRACT TASK ORDER 0275**

**DECEMBER 21, 1994** 

Prepared For:

# DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY ATLANTIC DIVISION NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND Norfolk, Virginia

Under:

LANTDIV CLEAN Program Contract N62470-89-D-4814

Prepared by:

BAKER ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. Coraopolis, Pennsylvania

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
INTR	ODUCTION 1
PURP	OSE 1
BACK	KGROUND
1.0	MOBILIZATION AND PREPARATORY WORK 4
2.0	MONITORING, SAMPLING, TESTING, AND ANALYSIS4Air Monitoring4Air Sampling4Water Sampling4Soil Sampling5Testing and Analysis5
3.0	SITE WORK 6
4.0	SURFACE WATER COLLECTION AND CONTROL
5.0	GROUNDWATER COLLECTION AND CONTROL
6.0	AIR POLLUTION/GAS COLLECTION AND CONTROL
7.0	SOLIDS COLLECTION AND CONTAINMENT
8.0	DECONTAMINATION WATER COLLECTION AND CONTAINMENT 8
9.0	DRUMS, TANKS, AND MISCELLANEOUS DEMOLITION AND DISPOSAL
10.0	OFF-SITE TREATMENT/DISPOSAL
11.0	SITE RESTORATION 8
12.0	DEMOBILIZATION
APPE	NDIX

- A Cost Estimate SummaryB Construction Estimate BackupC Construction Schedule

# BASIS OF DESIGN SOIL REMEDIATION AT OPERABLE UNIT NO. 10, (SITE 35) MARINE CORPS BASE, CAMP LEJEUNE, NORTH CAROLINA

### **INTRODUCTION**

This document presents the Basis of Design for soil remediation at Operable Unit (OU) No. 10, Site 35 - Camp Geiger Area Fuel Farm, Marine Corps Base (MCB), Camp Lejeune, North Carolina. It has been prepared by Baker Environmental, Inc. (Baker) for presentation to the Department of the Navy (DON), Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Atlantic Division (LANTDIV) under Navy CLEAN Contract Number N62470, and in accordance with LANTDIV's Scope of Work dated June 23, 1994.

Contaminated soil at OU No. 10 was the focus of the Interim Remedial Action Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) conducted by Baker in 1993/94. This study culminated in the identification of Source Removal and Off-Site Soil Recycling as the Selected Remedial Action Alternative (RAA) under the Final Interim Record of Decision (ROD) prepared by Baker (August 1994). The ROD was signed by MCB Camp Lejeune Commanding General L.H. Livingston on September 15, 1994.

Under this RAA, areas identified as containing contaminated soil will be cleared of vegetation and other obstructions. Soil excavation will take place to remove contaminated soil which, in general, is expected to be present below a layer of clean (uncontaminated) soil. The contaminated and uncontaminated soils will be transported to an on-site staging area for segregation, sampling and analysis. Contaminated soil will be shipped to an off-site soil recycling facility; whereas, the clean soil will be used, if appropriate, as backfill. It is anticipated that additional borrow soil will also be required as backfill to restore the site to roughly original grade. The areas disturbed by the work performed will be reseeded to provide for erosion protection.

#### PURPOSE

The purpose of the Basis of Design is to present and describe the most important elements of the remedial design for review by LANTDIV. The Basis of Design is not intended to be part of the project plans and specifications to be utilized by the Remedial Action Contractor for the execution of the remedial action.

#### BACKGROUND

MCB Camp Lejeune is a training base (also referred to as the "Activity") for the U.S. Marine Corps, located in Onslow County, North Carolina. The Activity covers approximately 236 square miles and includes 14 miles of coastline. MCB Camp Lejeune is bounded to the southeast by the Atlantic Ocean, to the northeast by State Route 24, and to the west by U.S. Route 17. The town of Jacksonville, North Carolina is located north of the Activity.

Camp Geiger is located at the extreme northwest corner of MCB Camp Lejeune. The main entrance to Camp Geiger is off U.S. Route 17, approximately 3.5 miles southeast Jacksonville. OU No. 10, Site 35, the Camp Geiger Area Fuel Farm, refers primarily to five, 15,000-gallon aboveground storage tanks

(ASTs), a pump house, and a fuel unloading pad situated within Camp Geiger just north of the intersection of Fourth and "G" Streets. OU No. 10 is one of 13 operable units within MCB Camp Lejeune. An "operable unit" as defined by the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP) is a discrete action that comprises an incremental step toward comprehensively addressing site problems.

Construction of Camp Geiger was completed in 1945, four years after construction of MCB Camp Lejeune was initiated. Originally, the ASTs were used for the storage of No. 6 fuel oil, but, were later converted for storage of other petroleum products including unleaded gasoline, diesel fuel, and kerosene. The date of their conversion is not known. The ASTs currently in use at the site are reported to be the original tanks.

Routinely, the ASTs at Site 35 supply fuel to an adjacent dispensing pump. A leak in the underground line from the ASTs to the dispensing island was reportedly responsible for the loss of roughly 30 gallons per day of gasoline over an unspecified period. The leaking line was subsequently sealed and replaced.

The ASTs at Site 35 are currently used to dispense gasoline, diesel and kerosene to government vehicles and to supply USTs in use at Camp Geiger and the nearby New River Marine Corps Air Station. The ASTs are supplied by commercial carrier trucks which deliver product to fill ports located on the fuel unloading pad at the southern end of the facility. Six, short-run (120 feet maximum), underground fuel lines are currently utilized to distribute the product from the unloading pad to the ASTs and visa versa.

Reports of a release from an underground distribution line near one of the ASTs date back to 1957-58. Apparently, the leak occurred as the result of damage to a dispensing pump. At that time the Camp Lejeune Fire Department estimated that thousands of gallons of fuel were released although records of the incident cannot be located. The fuel reportedly migrated to the east and northeast toward Brinson Creek along the top of shallow groundwater. Shallow groundwater interceptor trenches were reportedly excavated to capture the fuel which was then ignited and burned.

Another abandoned underground distribution line extended west from the ASTs to the former Mess Hall Heating Plant, located adjacent to "D" Street, between Third and Fourth Streets. This underground line dispensed No. 6 fuel oil to an underground storage tank (UST) which fueled the Mess Hall boiler. The Mess Hall, located across "D" Street to the west, was demolished along with its Heating Plant in the 1960s.

In April 1990, an undetermined amount of fuel was discovered by Camp Geiger personnel along unnamed drainage channels located north of the Fuel Farm. Apparently, the source of the fuel, believed to be diesel or jet fuel, was an unauthorized discharge from a tanker truck that was never identified. The Activity reportedly initiated an emergency clean-up which included the removal of approximately 20 cubic yards of soil.

Decommissioning and dismantling of the Fuel Farm is scheduled to commence in April 1995. Plans are currently being prepared to empty, clean, dismantle, and remove the ASTs along with the concrete foundations, slabs on grade, berms and associated underground piping. The Fuel Farm is being removed to make way for a six lane divided highway proposed by the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT).

The surface topography at Site 35 is generally flat to the south and west of the ASTs. The ground surface dips rapidly to the north and east in the direction of Brinson Creek. Surface water runoff flows toward Brinson Creek.

The shallow soil stratigraphy at Site 35 consists of fine to medium-grained sands (15 to 30 feet thick), underlain by oolitic, fossiliferous limestone (6 to 20 feet thick), which in turn is underlain by a unit of silty sand.

Shallow groundwater flow direction is generally west to east across the site in the direction of Brinson Creek. The top of groundwater is encountered roughly 8 to 10 feet below the ground surface across the flat portion of the site and at lesser depths as the surface topography converges with Brinson Creek.

Baker was retained to conduct an Interim Remedial Action Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) in December of 1993. The analytical data generated as part of the Interim Remedial Action/Feasibility Study combined with data obtained during previous investigations conducted at Site 35 identified the presence of petroleum contaminated soil in the vicinity of the Fuel Farm ASTs and to the north and northwest in a broad area extending from the former UST adjacent to Building G480 to the vicinity of monitoring well MW-25. In general, the analytical data suggests that the majority of the contaminated soil is present within a narrow zone that begins just above the top of the shallow groundwater table. It can be assumed that seasonal fluctuations in the contaminated groundwater table are responsible for in the contamination of soil in this narrow zone above the groundwater table.

Three areas of soil contamination requiring remediation have been identified as depicted on Drawing No. C-1. The first area is located in the vicinity of the Fuel Farms ASTs. The two other areas are located north of the Fuel Farm. The larger of these two areas is located along "F" Street in the vicinity of monitoring well MW-11; the smaller area is in the area of monitoring well MW-25. Baker has estimated that approximately 3,600 cubic yards (4,900 tons) of contaminated soil is present in these three areas. A fourth area of contamination, located immediately north of Building G480, was also identified in the ROD. Although not included in this design, this area will be addressed under a separate investigation and possibly a follow-up remediation if appropriate.

The DON is implementing a remedial action at Operable Unit (OU) No. 10, Site 35, the Camp Geiger Area Fuel Farm, under the Installation Restoration Program using a Remedial Action Contract (RAC). The goal of this removal action is to remediate the contaminated soil with concentrations of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) in excess of 40 mg/kg (milligrams per kilogram) as measured by EPA Method 5030/8015 (Low Boiling Point Hydrocarbons) and 160 mg/kg as measured by EPA Method 3550/8015 (High Boiling Point Hydrocarbons).

The following sections of this basis of design present the elements of the remedial action by Hazardous, Toxic, and Radiological Waste (HTRW) account as defined in the Remedial Action Contracts Delivery Order Requirements Package Guide, NEESA 20.2-062, June 1992.

### 1.0 MOBILIZATION AND PREPARATORY WORK

Mobilization involves the acquisition, delivery to the site, and setup of the necessary construction equipment, material, staging area, stockpile area, and personnel to accomplish the Removal Action Contract (RAC) scope of work.

The equipment recommended to accomplish this work in the most cost- and time-effective manner may include, but not be limited to, excavators, front-end loaders, bulldozers, and dump trucks. The excavators will be used for removing contaminated soils. The loaders will be used to transfer the contaminated soils into dump trucks. The bulldozers will be used for site preparation and final site grading.

The Contractor shall provide temporary facilities, including a Contractor staging/decontamination area, a stockpile area, and temporary utilities, as necessary to complete the work.

# 2.0 MONITORING, SAMPLING, TESTING, AND ANALYSIS

The Contractor shall be required to submit to LANTDIV for approval, a Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) describing the Contractor's sampling, analytical, and quality control procedures for the chemical data collected during the performance of work required by the specifications. The SAP shall ensure that all chemical data generated are scientifically accurate and legally defensible. The SAP shall describe the quantity, frequency, and location of soil and water samples to be collected and analyses to be performed.

The type and quantity of testing shall be based on the requirements set forth in the specifications (and the Contractor's Health and Safety Plan and Air Monitoring Plan) and as required during the project. All required testing, documentation, and submittal of test results will be the responsibility of the Contractor.

#### Air Monitoring

The Contractor shall develop and implement an Air Monitoring Plan to characterize site air with regard to personnel safety and off-site (perimeter of the active work area) migration of contaminants as a result of site activities. The Contractor shall perform real-time monitoring for organic vapors with a PID- or FID-type volatile organic chemical detector and for explosive atmospheres with an explosimeter. Action levels shall be identified in the Contractor's Health and Safety Plan and Air Monitoring Plan subject to the approval of the Navy Technical Representative (NTR).

### **Air Sampling**

High-volume air sampling shall be used to quantify any release of toxic particulates associated with remedial work at the project site in accordance with OSHA requirements for worker health and safety.

### Water Sampling

The Contractor shall collect water samples for chemical analysis from the water generated as part of the remedial action including, but not limited to, spent decontamination fluids and water collected from dewatering activities (i.e., surface water from the existing trench and rainfall accumulated in open excavations). Sampling shall occur at a frequency of one composite sample per tanker or one composite sample per 10 drums.

### Soil Sampling

The Contractor shall collect soil samples for chemical analysis from:

- 1. Soil excavated by the Contractor to characterize as contaminated versus uncontaminated (clean). One sample shall be collected for every 200 cubic yards of excavated uncontaminated soil that may be reutilized as backfill. This single sample shall be comprised of a mixture of six randomly chosen primary samples of approximately equal size. Sampling frequency of contaminated soil shall be determined by the soil recycling facility.
- 2. The limits of excavated areas to verify that contaminated soil has been removed (i.e., confirmation sampling) within the limits of excavation. Only excavation sidewalls will be sampled because the base of the excavation is expected to be remediated under a future remedial action focused on groundwater. One soil sample shall be collected for every 50 lineal feet of sidewall excavation.

### **Testing and Analysis**

The Contractor shall adhere to EPA chain-of-custody procedures during the collection, transport, and analyses of all samples. The Contractor shall arrange laboratory analyses of all samples to conform with NEESA Level C Quality Assurance Requirements. Samples shall be analyzed as follows:

#### Soil Testing

- 1. Soil excavated by the Contractor and stockpiled in the soil staging area to characterize as contaminated versus uncontaminated (clean). Sampling frequency of contaminated soil shall be determined by the off-site soil recycling facility. One sample shall be collected for every 200 cubic yards of uncontaminated soil that may be reutilized as backfill.
  - TPH EPA Method 5030/8015
  - TPH EPA Method 3550/8015
  - TCLP Metals EPA Methods 7060, 7080, 7130, 7190, 7420, 7470, 7741, 7760
  - TCLP VOAs EPA Method 8240
  - TCLP SVOAs EPA Method 8270
  - RCRA Hazardous Waste Characteristics (i.e., ignitability, corrosivity, and reactivity)

If the results of the above analysis indicate that the excavated soil is uncontaminated (i.e., TPH levels determined via EPA Method 5030/8015 are less than 40 mg/kg; TPH levels determined via EPA Method 3550/8015 are less than 160 mg/kg; and TCLP limits as per 40 CFR 261.24 are not exceeded), the portion of the excavated soil represented by the above analyses may be used as backfill. Excavated soil targeted for reuse as backfill shall adhere to the physical requirements for backfill identified in the specifications.

In addition to the above analyses, the Contractor shall be responsible for performing any additional analyses required by the off-site soil recycling facility. These additional analyses shall be identified in the Contractor's Sampling and Analysis Plan.

- 2. Laboratory confirmation testing to verify the limits of excavated areas (one sample for every 50 lineal feet of sidewall excavation):
  - a. In-field Screening Techniques
    - TPH by ENSYS rapid immunoassay in-field screening testing for petroleum fuels (PETRO RIS Soil Test System) - EPA Proposed Method 4030

The ENSYS in-field screening tests will be used primarily as a guidance for the Contractor in determining when the limits of excavtion has been encountered (i.e., if ENSYS test results are positive, continue excavating; if the results are negative, stop excavating and collect a confirmation sample for laboratory analysis for TPH by EPA Methods 5030/8015 and 3550/8015 to verify excavation limits). The ENSYS tests will also be used to aid in the segregation of contaminated versus clean soils at the soil staging area.

b. Laboratory Confirmation Samples

• TPH - EPA Method 5030/8015

TPH - EPA Method 3550/8015

### Incidental Testing

Potentially contaminated water generated during the remedial action including, but not limited to, water from decontamination of personnel and equipment, existing surface water impounded near Area B, and surface water accumulated in the open excavations. This also includes Contractor generated waste such as personal protective equipment (PPE), but not general refuse.

- TCLP Metals EPA Methods 7060, 7080, 7130, 7190, 7420, 7470, 7741, 7760
- TCLP VOAs EPA Method 8240
- TCLP SVOAs EPA Method 8270

Water shall be pumped into a tank or tanker truck, where one composite sample shall be collected to determine if the water qualifies as a hazardous waste. Site water determined to be a hazardous waste will be disposed of at an appropriate facility permitted to accept hazardous fluids. Site water that is analyzed and found to be non-hazardous will be disposed of at an appropriate public-owned treatment works.

# 3.0 SITE WORK

Site work includes all clearing and grubbing and construction of the laydown/decontamination area, excavated soil staging area and fencing. Clearing and grubbing will be limited to approximately 1.3 acres where access and excavation is required. Trees suitable for use as board lumber (tree trunks greater than six inches in diameter, less the tops and roots) will be removed from the site by the Activity prior to the commencement of work. The Contractor shall transport the remaining tops and roots to the

wood chipper located at the base sanitary landfill. The Contractor shall propose disposal options for remaining vegetation to the Forestry Division, EMD, at MCB, Camp Lejeune, as part of the Contractor's Work Plan.

## 4.0 SURFACE WATER COLLECTION AND CONTROL

The Contractor shall be required to provide devices or facilities as necessary to reduce the possibility of surface water from contacting contaminated materials (i.e., soil, equipment, etc.) during the remediation and flowing off site. The Contractor shall be required to keep the excavated areas dry during construction and to collect, sample, analyze, and dispose of water accumulated in the excavation and staging areas.

#### 5.0 GROUNDWATER COLLECTION AND CONTROL

No groundwater collection is anticipated in this project.

### 6.0 AIR POLLUTION/GAS COLLECTION AND CONTROL

The excavation and loading activities will most likely generate some dust emissions. Soil, haul roads, and other areas disturbed by operations shall be treated, as needed, with dust suppressants such as water to minimize emissions.

### 7.0 SOLIDS COLLECTION AND CONTAINMENT

Contaminated soil excavation shall be performed with appropriate earth moving equipment, such as excavators, bulldozers, and front-end loaders. The areas of contamination to be excavated is delineated on Drawing No. C-1. The areal extent of the excavation will likely vary from that depicted on Drawing No. C-1 as this drawing is an approximation based on limited data. However, based on the limits depicted on Drawing No. C-1, it is estimated that the total volume of soil to be excavated is 9,100 cubic yards, of which approximately 3,600 cubic yards (4,900 tons) will be contaminated and approximately 5,500 cubic yards will be clean. Prior to the start of excavation, the Contractor shall stake the estimated bounds of the contaminated soil. The on-site Navy Technical Representative (NTR) will visually verify the extent of the initial area of contaminated soil to be excavated. Excavation will be limited to soils in the unsaturated soil zone located above the seasonal high shallow groundwater table. Based on this criteria, excavation will be limited to approximately the top six feet of soil (lesser amounts in low-lying areas). All impacted soil located at or below the seasonal high shallow groundwater table will be addressed as part of a future overall groundwater remediation program at Site 35. Excavated soil will be segregated as clean or contaminated and placed on plastic (or other impermeable material) sheets at a designated staging area near the excavation. Both clean and contaminated soils will be sampled in the staging area to verify that only clean soil will be returned to the excavation as backfill. The Contractor shall specifically address the means by which clean and contaminated soil will be segregated in his Work Plan.

An estimate of the seasonal high groundwater has been made based on the water level readings obtained to date at monitoring well locations across the site and from an evaluation of the extent of soil and groundwater contamination identified under previous investigations. In general, seasonal high groundwater is estimated to be approximately one to two feet above the top of the shallow groundwater as measured by Baker in 1994. Once the Contractor has completely excavated the contaminated soil within the bounds depicted on Drawing Nos. C-2 and C-3, excavation will stop and an on-site analysis consisting of a visual inspection coupled with field screening for TPH by ENSYS rapid immunoassay in-field screening tests for petroleum fuels (PETRO RIS Soil Test System) will be performed on the surrounding soil. If the visual inspection and/or the ENSYS test kits reveal remaining contaminated soil, the Contractor will consult the on-site NTR to determine an additional amount of soil to be excavated. Excavation shall continue until no evidence of contaminated soil along the sidewalls of the excavation is present. At that time, soil samples will be collected to confirm the clean condition (i.e., TPH concentration less than 40 mg/kg by EPA Method 5030/8015 and 160 mg/kg by EPA Method 3550/8015), as per Section 2.0. Contaminated soil is expected to be encountered along the base of the excavation which is defined as the upper limits of seasonal high groundwater. This contaminated soil shall be left in place for remediation as part of a future groundwater remedial action.

Excavated soil shall be transported to an on-site staging area where it shall be preliminarily segregated as potentially clean (uncontaminated) and potentially contaminated using the ENSYS tests, photoionization detector, and visual observations. Soil samples from the preliminarily segregated soil shall be collected to determine if additional segregation is needed. Soil failing the criteria for clean status will ultimately be loaded onto trucks for off-site disposal.

# 8.0 DECONTAMINATION WATER COLLECTION AND CONTAINMENT

The Contractor shall provide a decontamination pad to collect liquids from the decontamination of personnel, earth-moving equipment, transportation trucks, and sampling equipment. The fluids will be collected in tanker trucks, drums, or other appropriate containers for off-site disposal at an appropriately permitted facility subject to LANTDIV and MCB Camp Lejeune approval.

# 9.0 DRUMS, TANKS, AND MISCELLANEOUS DEMOLITION AND DISPOSAL

No drums, tanks, or miscellaneous demolition and disposal are anticipated in this project except for spent personal protective equipment (PPE) and other nonhazardous solid waste which shall be disposed of in accordance with EPA Guidance (EPA Publication 9345.3-03FS).

### 10.0 OFF-SITE TREATMENT/DISPOSAL

Contaminated soil shall be loaded onto trucks at an on-site staging area and transported to an off-site soil recycling facility. Manifesting is not required if the contaminated soil does not meet the characteristics of a hazardous waste, as defined in 40 CFR 261. Miscellaneous non-contaminated waste (i.e., refuse and spent PPE) shall be loaded onto trucks or roll-off containers and transported to a North Carolina-permitted solid waste landfill or other appropriate facility subject to LANTDIV and MCB Camp Lejeune approval.

### 11.0 SITE RESTORATION

The excavated areas shall be backfilled with non-contaminated, previously excavated soil or off-site borrow material and regraded to the contours shown on the reference drawings. Fill material will be placed and compacted in accordance with the contract specifications.

### 12.0 DEMOBILIZATION

The temporary facilities, equipment, and supplies acquired for this contract shall be removed from the site upon completion of the remedial action.

Post-construction submittals shall include (1) a punch list showing correction of all listed items; (2) a letter from the Contractor certifying completion of all contracted work in accordance with the contract conditions, applicable regulations, and standards of practice; (3) a completed project current condition with an as-built survey for the site; (4) submittal, in one collated document, of quality control daily reports, samples, results of the analysis of samples, corrective actions (if required, taken to correct deviations from the plans and specifications that were pre-approved by LANTDIV), and results of corrective actions; and, (5) submittal in one collated document of quality assurance samples, and corrective actions (if required, taken to correct unacceptable deviations from required quality standards).

The Contractor shall submit to LANTDIV a report summarizing the remedial action, lessons learned, and recommendations for inclusion in future similar contracts.

APPENDIX A COST ESTIMATE SUMMARY

1

1

).

-

)

)

PAGE 1

)

)

)

ι

PRO	ECT LOCATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NOI	RTH CAROLINA	DESIGN STATUS: Final	
PROJ	ECT NAME: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35		DATE: 21-DEC-94	
CON	RACT NUMBER: CTO-0275	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PREPARED BY: Baker Environmental,	Inc.
	LABOR			
1	Direct Labor	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\$87,340
2	Indirect Costs			\$82,837
3	TOTAL LABOR	(1 + 2)		\$170,178
1	SUBCONTRACTOR COSTS			
4	TOTAL SUBCONTRACTOR COSTS			\$339,542
	TRAVEL COSTS			
5	TRAVEL COSTS (Air Fares + Per Diem [Meals, Lodging])			\$33,138
	OTHER DIRECT COSTS			
6	Equipment			
7	Site Operations Costs			
8	Operating & Maintenance Costs			
9	TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS	(6 + 7 + 8)		\$106,787
	TOTAL COSTS LESS AWARD FEES & FCCOM			
10	SUBTOTAL	(3 + 4 + 5 + 9)		\$649,644
11	G & A COSTS			\$41,188
12	SUBTOTAL COST LESS AWARD FEES & FCCOM	(10 + 11)		\$690,832
	MAXIMUM AWARD FEES			
13	Contractor Award Fee	······································		\$31,815
14	Contractor Award Fee on Subs			\$16,977
15	TOTAL MAXIUM AWARD FEES	(13 + 14)		\$48,792
	FCCOM			
16	FCCOM Labor			\$932
17 -	FCCOM Equipment	,		\$0
18	FCCOM G & A			\$642
19	FCCOM Project Supplies			\$64
20	TOTAL FCCOM	(16 + 17 + 18 + 19)		\$1,639
21	TOTAL ESTIMATED COST INCLUDING AWARD FEES	(12 + 15 + 20)		\$741,263

)

)

)

)

)

PAGE 2

1

.

)

1

PROJECT LOO PROJECT NA	CATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORTH CAR ME: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35		DESIGN STATUS: Final DATE: 21-DEC-94 BREBADED BV: Bakas Emissionmental Inc.							
HTRW	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF	COST/ UNIT	TOTAL MAT'L.	TOTAL LABOR	TOTAL EQUIP.	TOTAL SUBCON.	TOTAL TRAVEL	TOTAL CONTRACT
NUMBER			MEASURE	(\$)	COST(\$)	COST(\$)	COST(\$)	COST(\$)	COST(\$)	COST(\$)
33.01	MOBILIZATION AND PREPARATORY WORK	1	LS	61,365	36,227	14,519	1,827	7,855	938	61,365
33.02	MONITORING, SAMPLING, TESTING, ANALYSIS	1	LS	63,186	2,720	2,760		57,706		63,186
33.03	SITE WORK	1	LS	10,210		3,216	3,874	3,120		10,210
33.05	SURFACE WATER COLLECTION AND CONTROL	1	LS	4,560	1,920	2,640				4,560
33.08	SOLIDS COLLECTION AND CONTAINMENT	1	LS	54,691		20,111	34,580			54,691
33.19	DISPOSAL (COMMERCIAL)	1	LS	175,980				175,980		175,980
33.20	SITE RESTORATION	1	LS	114,427	5,887	10,578	15,800	82,162		114,427
33.21	DEMOBILIZATION	1	LS	8,647		4,857	22	3,581	188	8,647
33.99	DISTRIBUTIVE COSTS	1	LS	69,811		28,660		9,138	32,013	69,811
	•					•				
	SUBTOTAL	46,753	87,340	56,103	339,542	33,138	562,877			

1

)

PAGE 3

)

)

2

)

PROJECT LO PROJECT NA	DCATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORTH AME: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35	DESIGN STATUS: Final DATE: 21-DEC-94 PREPARED BY: Baker Environmental Inc.								
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	TOTAL MAT'L. COST(\$)	TOTAL LABOR COST(\$)	TOTAL EQUIP. COST(\$)	TOTAL SUBCON. COST(\$)	TOTAL TRAVEL COST(\$)	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)
33.01	MOBILIZATION AND PREPARATORY WORK									
33.01.01 33.01.01.90	MOBILIZATION - CONSTRUCT. EQUIP. AND FACIL. 2 - Backhoes (track - 3/4 CY), 2 - Off-Road Rear Dump Trucks, Dozer, FE Loader (wheel - 1 CY), Compactor, Skid Loader (bobcat), Water Buffalo	1	LS	2,400				2,400		2,400
33.01.01.91 33.01.02.01 33.01.02.91	Site Office Trailer Relocation of Supervisory Personnel Relocation of Labor Crew	1 2 4	LS EA EA	100 131 111		200 320		100	63 125	100 263 445
33.01.03 33.01.03.04 33.01.03.08 33.01.03.13 33.01.03.14 33.01.03.30 33.01.03.90 33.01.03.91	PRECONSTRUCTION SUBMITTALS Environmental Protection Plan Site Safety and Health Plan General Site Work Plan Construction Quality Control Plan Local Permits Site Visit, Miscellaneous Complete Remedial Design Plans	1 1 1 1 1 1	LS LS LS LS LS LS	400 800 3,200 800 800 2,010 1,352		400 800 3,200 800 1,260 1,352			750	400 800 3,200 800 800 2,010 1,352

Ì

2

)

PAGE 4

1

1

PROJECT LO PROJECT NA	DCATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORT AME: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35 NUMBER: CTO-0275	DESIGN ST/ DATE: PREPARED	ATUS: Final 21-DEC-94 BY: Baker B	Environmental,	Inc.					
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	TOTAL MAT'L. COST(\$)	TOTAL LABOR COST(\$)	TOTAL EQUIP. COST(\$)	TOTAL SUBCON. COST(\$)	TOTAL TRAVEL COST(\$)	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)
33.01.04 33.01.04.01 33.01.04.05 33.01.04.24 33.01.04.25 33.01.04.90 33.01.04.91	SETUP/CONSTRUCT TEMPORARY FACILITIES Office Trailer Decontamination Facilities for Construction Equipment/Vehicles Security Fencing (Temporary Safety Fencing) Roads and Parking (including Laydown Area) Temporary Excavated Soil Stockpile Area Fuel Storage Area	1 1,550 350 4,700 45	EA LS LF SY SY SY	60 990 2.37 2.42 8 17	150 1,782 33,699 545	60 265 1,891 315 2,679 147	75 532 1,175 45	500		60 990 3,674 847 37,553 736
33.01.05 33.01.05.02 33.01.05.03 33.01.05.04	CONSTRUCT TEMPORARY UTILITIES Power Connection/Distribution Telephone/Communications Hookup Water Connection/Distribution	1 1 1	LS LS EA	4,285 570 80	50	30		4,285 570		4,285 570 80

1

)

PAGE 5

)

)

3

3

PROJECT LC	CATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORT	H CAROL	DESIGN STATUS: Final							
PROJECT N/	AME: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35						DATE:	21-Dec-94	n dronmontol	
LITEW		<u> </u>	LINIT	COST	ΤΟΤΑΙ	ΤΟΤΛΙ	TOTAL		TOTAL	
ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT	OF	LINIT	MATI	LABOR	FOUR	SUBCON	TRAVEL	CONTRACT
NUMBER			MEASURE	(\$)	COST(S)	COST(\$)	COST(S)	COST(\$)	COST(\$)	COST(\$)
				<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		·
33.02	MONITORING, SAMPLING, TESTING, ANALYSIS									
33.02.06	SAMPLING SOIL AND SEDIMENT									
33.02.06.04	Shipping and Handling	10	EA	75				750		750
33.02.06.90	Excavation Material -	29	EA	40	290	870				1,160
1	Confirmation Samples (obtained from									
00.00.01	excavation sidewalls)	_	<b>F</b> A	40	50	150				000
33.02.06.91	Excavation Material -	5	EA	40	50	150				200
	Contaminated Soil									
33.02.06.92	Excavation Material -	28	EA	40	280	840				1,120
	Samples for Characterization									
1	of Potentially Clean Soil									
33.02.06.93	Incidental Wastes -	5	EA	40	50	150				200
	Decon/Dewatering Samples									
33.02.06.94	Field Screening Test Kits - TPH	50	SAMPLES	56	2,050	750	- -			2,800
33.02.09	LABORATORY CHEMICAL ANALYSIS									
33.02.09.03	Incidental Wastes Analysis (water)							4,385		4,385
	TCLP - Total Profile	5	EA	877				10,101		10 10 1
33.02.09.05	Waste Characterization Analysis (soli)							43,494		43,494
	TCI P - Total Profile	33	۳۵	877						
	BCBA Characteristics	33	FA	115						
1	TPH (5030/8015)	33	EA	152						
	TPH (3550/8015)	33	EA	174						
33.02.09.07	Confirmation Analysis (soil)		<i></i>					9,077		9,077
	TPH (5030/8015)	29	EA	145						
1	TPH (3550/8015)	29	EA	168						
L		I								

)

)

5

٠

)

PAGE 6

)

)

)

)

1

PROJECT LC PROJECT N/ CONTRACT	DCATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORT AME: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35 NUMBER: CTO-0275	DESIGN ST/ DATE: PREPARED	ATUS: Final 21-Dec-94 BY: Baker E	nvironmental	, Inc.					
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	TOTAL MAT'L. COST(\$)	TOTAL LABOR COST(\$)	TOTAL EQUIP. COST(\$)	TOTAL SUBCON. COST(\$)	TOTAL TRAVEL COST(\$)	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)
33.03	SITE WORK				-					
33.03.01 33.03.01.90	DEMOLITION Remove F Street at Area A	584	SY	3.90		934	1,343			2,278
33.03.02 33.03.02.01	CLEARING AND GRUBBING Clear and Grub Work Areas	1.25	AC	3,850		2,281	2,531			4,813
33.03.05	FENCING Assume existing fencing removed by others prior to start of this contract.									
33.03.90 33.03.90.90	WELL ABANDONMENT Remove Existing Monitoring Wells and Grout	4	EA	780				3120		3,120

)

)

PAGE 7

2

PROJECT LOCATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA PROJECT NAME: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35 CONTRACT NUMBER: CTO-0275 CONTA										
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	TOTAL MAT'L. COST(\$)	TOTAL LABOR COST(\$)	TOTAL EQUIP. COST(\$)	TOTAL SUBCON. COST(\$)	TOTAL TRAVEL COST(\$)	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)
<b>33.05</b> 33.05.07 33.05.07.01	SURFACE WATER COLLECTION AND CONTROL SEDIMENT BARRIERS Silt Fence	960	ĿF	4.75	1,920	2,640				4,560

.

PAGE 8

Ì

)

Ì

)

PROJECT LO	DCATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NOI AME: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35	DESIGN STATUS: Final DATE: 21-Dec-94 BDEDADED BY: Bolton Environmental Inc.								
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	TOTAL MAT'L COST(\$)	TOTAL LABOR COST(\$)	TOTAL EQUIP. COST(\$)	TOTAL SUBCON. COST(\$)	TOTAL TRAVEL COST(\$)	, Inc. TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)
<b>33.08</b> 33.08.01 33.08.01.90 33.08.01.91	SOLIDS COLLECTION AND CONTAINMENT EXCAVATION Excavation by backhoe (track) Segregation of Clean/Contaminated Soils at Stockpile Area	9,100 9,100	CY CY	4.97 1.04		15,743 4,368	29,484 5,096			45,227 9,464

1

PAGE 9

)

1

PROJECT LC PROJECT NA CONTRACT I	DESIGN ST DATE: PREPARED	ATUS: Final 21-DEC-94 BY: Baker I	Invironmental	, Inc.						
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	TOTAL MAT'L. COST(\$)	TOTAL LABOR COST(\$)	TOTAL EQUIP. COST(\$)	TOTAL SUBCON. COST(\$)	TOTAL TRAVEL COST(\$)	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)
33.19	DISPOSAL (COMMERCIAL)									
33.19.02 33.19.02.01 33.19.02.90	TRANSPORT TO STORAGE/DISPOSAL FACILITY Hauling/Unloading of Solids (Contaminated Soil) Hauling - Site Restoration Wastes	4,860 196	TON TON	10 10		-		48,600 1,960		48,600 1,960
33.19.03 33.19.03.01 33.19.03.90	DISPOSAL FEES & TAXES Landfill - Site Restoration Wastes Disposal of Contaminated Soil - Soil Recycling	196 4,860	TON TON	20 25				3,920 121,500		3,920 121,500

3

7

.

)

1

4

)

1

PAGE 10

1

.

)

)

1

PROJECT LC PROJECT NA	OCATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORT AME: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35 NUMBER: CTO-0275		DESIGN STATUS: Final DATE: 21-DEC-94 PREPARED BY: Baker Environmental, Inc.							
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	TOTAL MAT'L. COST(\$)	TOTAL LABOR COST(\$)	TOTAL EQUIP. COST(\$)	TOTAL SUBCON. COST(\$)	TOTAL TRAVEL COST(\$)	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)
33.20	SITE RESTORATION									
<b>33.20.01</b> 33.20.01.03	EARTHWORK Backfill	9,100	CY	11.58		9,289	14,969	81,108		105,366
33.20.03 33.20.03.90	RE-ESTABLISH ROADS / STRUCTURES / UTILITIES Reconstruct F Street	584	SY	12	5,887	537	479	:		6,903
33.20.04 33.20.04.01	REVEGETATION Seeding/Mulch/Fertilizer	49	MSF	22				1,054		1,054
33.20.90 33.20.90.90 33.20.90.91	REMOVAL OF STOCKPILE LINER & COVER Removal of Stockpile Liner Removal of Stockpile Cover	4,700 2,350	SY SY	0.19 0.09		611 141	282 71			893 212

.

COMPREHEN	NSIVE LONG-TERM ENVIRONMENTAL ACTION NAVY (CI	LEAN)		PAGE 1	1					
PROJECT LO PROJECT NA CONTRACT	DCATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NOF AME: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35 NUMBER: CTO-0275	RTH CAROLIN	A	DESIGN STATUS: Final DATE: 21-DEC-94 PREPARED BY: Baker Environmen						
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	TOTAL MAT'L. COST(\$)	TOTAL LABOR COST(\$)	TOTAL EQUIP. COST(\$)	TOTAL SUBCON. COST(\$)	TOTAL TRAVEL COST(\$)	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)
33.21	DEMOBILIZATION									
33.21.01 33.21.01.01 33.21.01.05 33.21.01.24 33.21.01.90	REMOVAL OF TEMPORARY FACILITIES Office Trailer Decontamination Facilities for Construction Equipment Security Fencing (Temporary Safety Fencing) Silt Fencing	2 1 1,550 960	ls LS LF LF	147 667 0.61 0.25		120 145 946 240	22	100 500		220 667 946 240
33.21.02 33.21.02.02 33.21.02.03 33.21.02.04	REMOVAL OF TEMPORARY UTILITIES Power Connection/Distribution Telephone/Communications Hookup Water Connection/Distribution	1 1 1	LS LS LS	432 150 30		30		432 150		432 150 30

-

)

2

)

.

)

3

PAGE 12

Ì

)

)

PROJECT LC PROJECT NA	OCATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORTH AME: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35 NUMBER: CTO-0275	I CAROLIN	Ą				DESIGN ST/ DATE: PREPARED	ATUS: Final 21-DEC-94 BY: Baker B	Invironmental,	Inc.
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	TOTAL MAT'L. COST(\$)	TOTAL LABOR COST(\$)	TOTAL EQUIP. COST(\$)	TOTAL SUBCON. COST(\$)	TOTAL TRAVEL COST(\$)	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)
33.21.04 33.21.04.07	DEMOBILIZATION OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIP. Construction Equipment	1	LS	2,880		480		2,400		2,880
33.21.05 33.21.05.01 33.21.05.92	DEMOBILIZATION OF PERSONNEL Relocation of Supervisory Personnel Relocation of Labor Crew	1	LS LS	263 445		200 320			63 125	263 445
33.21.06 33.21.06.01 33.21.06.03	POST-CONSTRUCTION SUBMITTALS Punch List Post-Construction Documentation (Disposal Certifications)	1	LS LS	180 180		180 180				180 180
33.21.06.06	Construction Documentation Report (Final Engineering Report)	1	LS	1,810		1,810				1,810
33.21.06.07	As Built Drawings	1	LS	206		206				206

2

à

3

)

~ -

)

PAGE 13

PROJECT LOCATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA       DESIGN STATUS: Final         PROJECT NAME:       REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35         CONTRACT NUMBER:       CTO-0275         DATE:       21-DEC-94         PREPARED BY:       Baker Environmental, Inc.											
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	TOTAL MAT'L. COST(\$)	TOTAL LABOR COST(\$)	TOTAL EQUIP. COST(\$)	TOTAL SUBCON. COST(\$)	TOTAL TRAVEL COST(\$)	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)	
33.99	DISTRIBUTIVE COSTS										
33.99.01 33.99.01.01 33.99.01.02	SUPERVISION/MANAGEMENT Project Manager General Superintendent (Site Supervisor) Field Engineer (Project Control Tech)	1 1 1	EA EA EA	3,150 13,200 8,800		3,150 13,200 8,800				3,150 13,200 8,800	
33.99.03 33.99.03.03 33.99.03.09	OFFICE MANAGEMENT Accountant Typist/Secretary	1	EA EA	660 440		660 440				660 440	
33.99.04 33.99.04.02 33.99.04.17	ENGINEERING Civil Engineer Quality Control Engineer	1	EA EA	440 550		440 550				440 550	
33.99.05 33.99.05.02	PURCHASING Purchasing Agent	1	EA	275		275				275	
33.99.07 33.99.07.02	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND MOTOR POOL Mechanic	1	EA	165		165				165	
33.99.08 33.99.08.01 33.99.08.09 33.99.08.17	TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION FACILITIES Office Trailer Toilets (Portable) Office Equipment/Furnishings	3 3 3	MO MO MO	171 78 154				513 234 462		513 234 462	

2

)

)

4

COMPREHENSIVE LONG	G-TERM ENVIRONMENTAL	ACTION NAVY (CLE	:AN)
--------------------	----------------------	------------------	------

PAGE 14

)

)

PROJECT LOCATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA       DESIGN STATUS: Final         PROJECT NAME:       REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35         CONTRACT NUMBER:       CTO-0275         PREPARED BY:       Baker Environmental, Inc.											
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	TOTAL MAT'L. COST(\$)	TOTAL LABOR COST(\$)	TOTAL EQUIP. COST(\$)	TOTAL SUBCON. COST(\$)	TOTAL TRAVEL COST(\$)	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)	
33.99.09 33.99.09.01 33.99.09.02 33.99.09.04	TEMPORARY UTILITIES · Power Water Telephone	2.5 2.5 2.5	MO MO MO	200 56 259				500 140 648		500 140 648	
33.99.13 33.99.13.01	VEHICLES FOR PERSONNEL Pickup Trucks (1)	2.75	MO	555				1,526		1,526	
33.99.15 33.99.15.01 33.99.15.10 33.99.15.17	HEALTH AND SAFETY Certified Industrial Hygienist Site Safety & Health Officer Personnel Protective Equipment (HNu, LEL, vent fans)	1 1 1	ea ea ls	220 220 5115		220 220		5,115		220 220 5,115	
33.99.16 33.99.16.06 33.99.16.90 33.99.16.92 33.99.16.93	MISCELLANEOUS COSTS Project Travel - Home Office Personnel Per Diem - Home Office Personnel Site Personnel Per Diem (Meals) Site Personnel Per Diem (Lodging)	3 3 450 450	EA EA DAY DAY	780 171 26 40		540			1,800 513 11,700 18,000	2,340 513 11,700 18,000	
	TOTAL		<u></u>		46,753	87,340	56,103	339,542	33,138	562,877	



)

.

)

)

1

1.8

PAGE 1

)

)

PROJECT LOO PROJECT NAI CONTRACT N	CATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORTH CAR ME: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35 IUMBER: CTO-0275	olina					DESIGN STA DATE: PREPARED E	TUS: Final 21-DEC-94 3Y: Baker Env	ironmental, inc	».
HTRW ACCT. NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	TOTAL MAT'L. COST(\$)	TOTAL LABOR COST(\$)	TOTAL EQUIP. COST(\$)	TOTAL SUBCON. COST(\$)	TOTAL TRAVEL COST(\$)	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)
33.01	MOBILIZATION AND PREPARATORY WORK	1	LS	61,365	36,227	14,519	1,827	7,855	938	61,365
33.02	MONITORING, SAMPLING, TESTING, ANALYSIS	1	LS	63,186	2,720	2,760		57,706		63,186
33.03	SITE WORK	1	LS	10,210		3,216	3,874	3,120		10,210
33.05	SURFACE WATER COLLECTION AND CONTROL	1	LS	4,560	1,920	2,640	1			4,560
33.08	SOLIDS COLLECTION AND CONTAINMENT	1	LS	54,691		20,111	34,580			54,691
33.19	DISPOSAL (COMMERCIAL)	1	LS	175,980				175,980		175,980
33.20	SITE RESTORATION	1	LS	114,427	5,887	10,578	15,800	82,162		114,427
33.21	DEMOBILIZATION	1	LS	8,647		4,857	22	3,581	188	8,647
33.99	DISTRIBUTIVE COSTS	1	LS	69,811		28,660		9,138	32,013	69,811
	SUBTOTAL				46,753	87,340	56,103	339,542	33,138	562,877

)

)

PAGE 2

.

)

PROJECT LOC PROJECT NAM	ATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORTH CAROLI IE: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35	NA	,							DESIGN ST DATE: PREPARED	ATUS: Final 21-DEC-04 BY: Baker E	nvironmental	inc.
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	DESCRIPTION	COST BASIS (Meetra/Other)	SUB (Y/N)	UNIT MAT'L COST	UNIT LABOR COST	UNIT EQUIPT COST	UNIT SUB COST	UNIT TRAVEL COST	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)
33.01	MOBILIZATION AND PREPARATORY WORK												
33.01.01	MOBILIZATION - CONSTRUCT. EQUIP. AND FACIL	1											2400
33.01.01.90	2 - Backhoes (track - 3/4 CY), 2 - Off-Road	1 1	LS	2,400	Sum/Mob Equipment					249			2.000
	Rear Dump Trucks, Dozer,	2	EA	299	Backhoe (3/4 CY)	Means 022-274-0900 (3/4 CT)	1		~	2			
	FE Loader (wheel - 1 CY), Compactor,		-	400	Of Read Bear Dumo Tault	Assume Means 022-274-0800 will cover	ł v		60	290			
	Skid Loader (bobcat), Water Bullaio	×	EA	400	Ch-Hoad Heat Durip frack	Mob up to 25 miles							
		1.	EA.	304	Dozer	Means 022-274-0020 (105 HP)	Y		50	219			
1		( '				Mob up to 25 miles	1	(	1				
		1 1	EA	273	Loader (1 CY)	Means 022-274-1200 (1 CY)	Y		40	199			
						Mob up to 25 miles	1	Į					8
		1	EA	308	Compactor	Assume Means 022-274-0020 will cover	Y		50	219			
1		1				Mob up to 25 miles		1			78		
1		1	EA	75	Skid Loader (Bobcat)	Rental Tools & Equipment Co.		[					1
1			1			Local Dervery charge for dervery		1					
		1.	1		Manager Brothalog	Dental Tools & Follisment Co	l v				36		
1.5		1	1 5	30	Water Duitaros	t ocal Delivery charge for delivery							
	}		]		]	by Tit-Bed Truck (based on	1						ļ
1		1	1			2 per load at \$75/load)			ļ				
33.01.01.91	Site Office Trailor	1	LS	100	Sum/Site Trailers			<b></b>	Į				100
1		1	EA	100	32' X 8' Office	Means 015-904-0900; \$1.85/mile	I Y	1	1		100		1
			1		Trailer	Assume 60 miles = \$100							
				1	Pum forman from a	Assume 1/2-tex (adv.) mobilization, drive from Balaich, NC			1				263
33.01.02.01	Helocation of Supervisory Personnel	<b>1 4</b>		151	Personnel			1	l •				
		1	EA	151	Site Supervisor	Assume 1-Site Supervisor (General	N		120			31	
1				1		Superintendent) at \$30/hr	1	1	1	[	{		[[
1		1	•		-	4 hr X \$30/hr = \$120		ł	1				
		1		1		Mileage: 125 miles at \$0.25/mi = \$31.25		ł		1			
		1 1	EA	111	Project Control Tech	Assume t-Project Control Tech (Field Engineer)	Ň	ł.	<sup>∞</sup>				1
		j		ļ	Tech - Excevation	for Excevation & Sampling at \$2000		1			ļ	]	6
				1	a samping	Mileane: 125 miles at \$0 25/mi = \$31.25				1			
	Dula sullan of Labor County		FA	1 111	Sum/Labor Oraw	Assume 1/2-day (4-hr.) mobilization, drive from Raleigh, NC		1	1				445
33.01.02.91	Nelocation of Labor Crew		1 ~	1	Assume additional local								
1		2	EA	91	Recovery Tech (RT)	Assume 1-Recovery Tech at \$15/hr	N		60			31	
1	1					4 hr X \$15/hr = \$60	1	]	1	1	ļ		]
1			1	1		Mileage: 125 miles at \$0.25/mi = \$31.25	1	1				l	1
1		2	EA	101	Equipment Operator (EO)	Assume 1-Equipment Operator at \$25/hr	N		100	1	ł	31	
1			1	1		4 hr X \$25/hr = \$100	1	1	1	1	1		ii ii
		1			1	Mseage: 125 miles at \$0.25/mit = \$31.25				1			
1		1	1	1		. I		J	1			1	A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNE

)

)

.

)

)

1

)

PAGE 3

1

)

PROJECT LOC PROJECT NAM CONTRACT N	CATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORTH CAROLIN AE: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35 UMBER: CTO-0275	A		<u></u>						DESIGN ST DATE: PREPARED	TATUS: Final 21-DEC-84 9 BY: Baker I	Environmental	, inc.
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	DESCRIPTION	COST BASIS (Means/Other)	SUB (Y/N)	UNIT MAT'L COST	UNIT LABOR COST	UNIT EQUIPT COST	UNIT SUB COST	UNIT TRAVEL COST	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)
33.01.03- 33.01.03.04 33.01.03.06 33.01.03.13 33.01.03.14 33.01.03.30 33.01.03.90	PRECONSTRUCTION SUBMITTALS Environmental Protection Plan Site Safety and Heath Plan General Site Work Plan Construction Quality Control Plan Local Permits Site Visit, Miscelaneous Complete Remedial Design Plans	1 1 1 1 1 1	ភ ភ ភ ភ ភ ភ	400 800 3,200 800 2,010	Environmental Protection Plan Site Selety and Heath Plan General Site Work Plan Construction Quality Control Plan Local Permits Site Visit, Misc.	Assume 20 hr x \$20/hr = \$400 Assume 40 hr x \$20/hr = \$300 Assume 40 hr x \$20/hr = \$3,200 Assume 40 hr x \$20/hr = \$300 Assume 40 hr x \$20/hr = \$800 Assume 1.Site Visit Trip by Proj. Mgr. Assume (12 hr + 24 hr) x \$35/hr = \$1,280 Proj. Mgr. Labor Assume Per Diem = \$150 Assume Piane Fare = \$600 Complete Remedial Design Plans	N N N N		400 800 3,200 800 900 1,250			760	400 800 3,200 800 2,010
33.01.04.~ 33.01.04.01	SETUP/CONSTRUCT TEMPORARY FACILITIES Office Trailer	8 24 8 20 20	HR HR HR HR HR R	35 20 19 13 9 60	Proj. Mgr. Civil Engr. Cost Engr. Draftsman - CADD Word Processor/Clencel 32' x 8' Office Trailer	Assume Proj. Mgr. at \$35hr Assume Civil Engr. at \$20hr Assume Cost Engr. at \$19hr Assume Cost Engr. at \$19hr Assume Word Processor/Clerical at \$9hr Assume Word Processor/Clerical at \$9hr Assume 2-RTs 2-hr each to level & block up trailer Labor = 2 x 2 hr x \$16hr = \$90	N N N N		35 20 19 13 9 60				60

)

1

)

à

()

)

)

• •••

PAGE 4

•

è

)

HTRW ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION OF ITEM QUANT. OF UNIT OF UNIT DESCRIPTION BASIS SUB MATL LABOR EQUIPT S NUMBER (\$) (Means/Other) (Y/N) COST COST COST COST C	NIT UNIT UB TRAVEL XST COST	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)
D2.01.04.05     Deconstruction     1     U.S     900     Stret Deconstructor     Assume steel sections with the dy Contractor     N     160     265     75       Equipment/Vehicles     1     U.S     900     Stret Deconstructor     setup on asphetic syctom     N     160     265     75       Note: On apphetime of Construction     Note: On apphetime of Construction     by clamps in field     Note: On apphetime of Construction     by clamps in field     Note: On apphetime of Construction     N     160     265     75       Note: On apphetime of Construction     10     Stret Decon Parts: 8' x 24' stret sections connected     by clamps in field     Note: On apphetime of Construction     N     160     265     75       Stret Decon Parts: 8' x 24' stret sections connected     10     Excavator of charpy plake to seet     10     Excavator of charpy plake to seet     11     11     150     210     150     210       Dietel Envolon:     Note: The stort = \$200     Note: The stort = \$20       Under Start X Stort = \$20     1     <	500	990

1

)

)

)

Ì)

PAGE 5

à

)

PROJECT LOCA PROJECT NAMI CONTRACT NU	NTION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORTH CAROLII E: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35 MBER: CTO-0275	NA	- <u> </u>	-						DESIGN ST DATE: PREPARED	ATUS: Final 21-DEC-04 BY: Baker I	Environmental	, Inc.
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	DESCRIPTION	COST BASIS (Means/Other)	SUB (Y/N)	UNIT MAT'L COST	UNIT LABOR COST	UNIT EQUIPT COST	UNIT SUB COST	UNIT TRAVEL COST	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)
33.01.04.24	Security Fencing (Temporary Safety Fencing)	1,650	UF	237	Temporary Safety Fencing Area A = 700 LF Area B = 350 LF Area C = 500 LF Total = 1,650 LF	Assume orange plastic fanoing Assume Means 028-320-4800, 4' high snow fence approximates costs Mat1: \$1.15/LF Labor: \$1.22/LF	N	1	1				3,674
33.01.04.25	Roads and Parking (including Laydown Area)	350	SY	242	Temp. Access Road to Area B from F St 15" x 160" = 207 3Y Temp. Access Road to Area C from F St 15" x 50" = 83 SY Total = 350 SY	Temporary access roads - assume grading & compaction, no stone or stabilization fabric. Means 022-004-0010, double due to small areas. Labor: \$0.45/5Y x 2 = \$0.90/SY Equip: \$0.76/SY x 2 = \$1.52/SY Laydown Area: Laydown & soil stockpile areas to be located on asphalt pad approximately 1,000' x 70'. Laydown area to be located within approx. 400' x 70' area	N		0.90	1.62			847
						of the pad not used for soli stockpling; assume no liner, stone or bern.							

)

)

)

à

3

Ì

3

)

PAGE 6

١

)

)

}

)

1

)

)

HTML AUULIER         DESCRIPTION (U         UNIT (U)         OUNT (U)         OUNT (U)         UNIT (U)         UNIT (U) <thunit (U)         UNIT (U)         UNIT (U)<th>PROJECT LOC PROJECT NAM CONTRACT NL</th><th>ATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORTH CARO IE: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35 IMBER: CTO-0275</th><th>LINA</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>DESIGN S' DATE: PREPARED</th><th>FATUS: Final 21-DEC-04 D BY: Beker</th><th>Environmental</th><th>, Inc.</th></thunit 	PROJECT LOC PROJECT NAM CONTRACT NL	ATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORTH CARO IE: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35 IMBER: CTO-0275	LINA								DESIGN S' DATE: PREPARED	FATUS: Final 21-DEC-04 D BY: Beker	Environmental	, Inc.
33.01 (0.0.0)       Temporary Scenario Sed Stockple Area       24 Stockple Area       26 Stockple Area       10 A Stockple Area       10 A Stockple Area       26 Stockple Area       10 A Stockple Area       10	HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	DESCRIPTION	COST BASIS (Meana/Other)	SUB (Y/N)	UNIT MAT'L COST	UNIT LABOR COST	UNIT EQUIPT COST	UNIT SUB COST	UNIT TRAVEL COST	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)
1 x 8 hr x \$25hr = \$200       Operating Cost = \$7/hr         \$200(4,700 SY = \$0.04/SY       Total = (15+7) x 12 hr = \$284         Means 016-408-0400, utility tractor       \$284/4,700 SY = \$0.06/SY         Equip: 1-utility tractor B+n.       \$284/4,700 SY = \$0.06/SY         Rent/Hr = \$595/40 hr = \$15/hr       Total Unit Costs (Liner):         Operating Cost = \$7/hr       Mat1: \$\$4.40/SY         Total = (15+7) x 8 hr = \$17hr       Labor: 0.13 + 0.11 + 0.04 + 0.08 = \$0.34/SY         Total Unit Costs (Cover):       Equip: 0.09 + 0.08 = \$0.15/SY         Mat1: 0.50 + 0.27 = \$0.04/SY       Equip: 0.09 + 0.08 = \$0.15/SY         Labor: 0.08 + 0.06 + 0.05       + 0.06 = \$0.23/SY	NUMBER 33.01.04.90	Temporary Excerned Sol Stockpie Area	4700	SY	8	Excervated Soil Stockpile Area Excervated Soil Stockpile Area Excervated Soil Cover: Geotextile Cover (300' x 70' = 2,350 SY) Size Factor = 2,300 SY(4,700 SY = 0.5 Assume - Mat1: \$1.00/SY x 0.5 = \$0.50/SY of overall area Labor: 2-RTs 12 hr each to install 2 x 12 hr x 515/hr = \$300 \$300/4,700 SY = \$0.00/SY 1 = Equip. Operator12-hr 1 x 12 hr x \$25/hr = \$300 \$300/4,700 SY = \$0.00/SY Means 016-406-400, Uillity tractor Equip: 1-uilly tractor 12-hr. Rert/Hr = \$555/40 hr = \$15/hr Operating Cost = \$7/hr Total = (15+7) x 12 hr = \$284 \$284/4,700 SY = \$0.00/SY Straw Bales to anchor cover (assume 100 % of perimeter provides bales to anchor perimeter and place bales within perimeter to prevent cover from ballowing) (200' x 70') x 2 = 740 LF 740 LF/3LF per bale = 250 bales Mat1: Assume \$5/bale after 2 x 6 hr x \$15/hr = \$240 \$2240/4,700 SY = \$0.05/SY Labor: 2-RTs 6 hr each to install 2 x 6 hr x \$55/hr = \$200 \$200/x700 SY = \$0.06/SY Means 016-406-400, Uility fractor Equip: 1-Linity tractor 6+r. Rert/Hr = \$555/hol = \$175 Mat1: 0.50 + 0.27 = \$0.04/SY Means 016-406-400, Uility fractor Equip: 1-Linity fractor 6+r. Rert/Hr = \$555/hol = \$175 Straw Bales 1-50 = \$177 Total = (15+7) x 5 hr = \$176 Mat1: 0.50 + 0.27 = \$0.04/SY Labor: 0.06 + 0	(Meana/Other)Sol Stockpile Area: Laydown & sol stockpile areas to be located on asphalt ped approximately 1,000' x 70'. Sol Stockpile Area 600' x 70', with 80 mil HDPE liner placed decity on the asphalt pad, sol berm, no stone; geotextile cover for stockpiled sol $800' \times 70' = 4,700$ SY HDPE Liner 600' x 90' = 6,000 SY Oversize Factor = 6,000 SY(4,700 SY = 1,28 Assume - Mart: 55,005Y x 1,28 = \$4,405Y Labor: 2-RTs 20 hr each to install 2 x 20 hr x \$15hr = \$ 600 \$600/4,700 SY = \$0,13/SY 1 - Cqub,Operator 20 hr 1 x 20 hr x \$25hr = \$ 500 \$500/4,700 SY = \$0,11/SY Means 014-606-400, ullity tractor Equip: 1 - utility tractor 20 hr. Rent/H = \$565/40 hr = \$16hr Operating Cost = \$7/hr Total = (15+7) x 20 hr = \$440 \$440/4,700 SY = \$0,03/SY Pyranid and under edge of liner construct berm using adjacent sol. Assume 12 hours for 1-RT,1-EO & utility tractor to construct and berm (approx. 5 minutes per 10' of berm). 2 x (90' x 70' = 1,340 LF of berm. Labor: 1-RT 12 hr 1 x 12 hr x \$15/kr = \$100 \$100/4,700 SY = \$0.04/SY 1 - Cqub; 1 - Cold y 7 + \$00 \$300/4,700 SY = \$0.04/SY 1 - Cqub; 1 - Cold y 7 + \$00 \$300/4,700 SY = \$0.04/SY 1 - Cqub; 1 - Cold y 7 + \$10 Means 016-400-400, utility tractor Equip: 1 - utility tractor 12/hr 1 x 12 hr x \$25/hr = \$10 \$300/4,700 SY = \$0.04/SY 1 - Cqub; 1 - Cold y 7 + \$10 Means 016-400 - 400, utility tractor Equip: 1 - utility tractor 12/hr Neans 016-400 - 400, utility tractor Equip: 1 - utility tractor 12/hr Neans 016-400 - 400, utility tractor Equip: 1 - utility tractor 12/hr Neans 016-400 - 400, utility tractor Equip: 1 - utility tractor 12/hr Neans 016-400 - 400, utility tractor Equip: 1 - utility tractor 12/hr Neans 016-400 - 400, utility tractor Equip: 1 - utility tractor 12/hr Neans 016-400 - 400, utility tractor Equip: 1 - utility tractor 12/hr Neans 016-400 - 400, utility tractor Equip: 1 - utility tractor 12/hr Neans 016-400 - 400, utility tractor Equip: 1 - utility tractor 12/hr Neans 016-400 - 400, utility tractor Equip: 1 - 0 - 0 - 50.08/SY Labor: 0.13 + 0.11 + 0.04 + 0.06 = \$0.34/SY Equ	(Y/R) N	COST 7.17 6.40 0.77	COST 0.57 0.34 0.23	COST 0.25 0.15 0.10	(Uner) (Cover)		37,553

.

1

PROJECT LOC PROJECT NAM	ATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORTH CAROLI E: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35 IMBER: CTO-0275	NA								DESIGN ST DATE: PREPARED	ATUS: Final 21-DEC-94 BY: Baker I	Environmental	. Inc.
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	DESCRIPTION	COST BASIS (Means/Other)	SUB (Y/N)	UNIT MAT'L COST	UNIT LABOR COST	UNIT EQUIPT COST	UNIT SUB COST	UNIT TRAVEL COST	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)
33.01.04.\$1	Fuel Storage Area	45	54	17	Fuel Storage Area (20' x 20' on existing laydown area)	Assume see = 20' x 20' in laydown area (e, no base proparation required). Assume spill containment provided by soli/gravel over straw bale borm. Geometrizate Liner: 30' x 30' = 100 SY Oversize Factor = 100 SY/44,4 SY = 225 Assume - Matt: 12,400/SY x 2.25 = \$0,00/SY Labor: 2:RTs 1 fr each to install 2 x 1 fr x 315/fr = 4 30 \$30/44,4 SY = 40.70/SY Straw Bales (80 LF/3LF per bale = 27 bales) Matt: Assume 55/bale delivered 27 bales x \$5/bale = 27 bales) Matt: Assume 55/bale delivered 27 bales x \$5/bale = 27 bales) Matt: Assume 55/bale delivered 27 bales x \$5/bale = 27 bales) Matt: Assume 55/bale delivered 27 bales x \$5/bale = 27 bales) Matt: Assume 55/bale delivered 27 bales x \$5/bale = 27 bales) Matt: Assume 55/bale delivered 27 bales x \$5/bale = 27 bales) Matt: Assume 55/bale delivered 27 bales x \$5/bale = 27 bales) Matt: 1 fre x \$25/bale delivered 27 bales x \$5/bale = 27 bales) Matt: 1 fre x \$25/bale delivered 27 bales x \$5/bale delivered 27 bale x \$5/bale delivered 28 bale (00 LF/3LF) bales x \$5/bale delivered 29 bale x \$5/bale x \$5/bale x \$15/br Operating Cost = \$7/br Total = 15 + 7 = \$22 \$22/44.4 SY = \$0.00/SY Equip: 1.418/by tactor 14r, Bert/Hr = \$505/SY Labor: 2/TTs 1 fr each to install 2 x 1 fr x \$15/br = \$25 \$25/34.4 SY = \$0.00/SY Equip: 1.418/by tactor 14r, Bert/Hr = \$505/SY Labor: 2/TTs 1 fr each to install 2 x 1 fr x \$15/br = \$25 \$25/34.4 SY = \$0.00/SY Equip: 1.418/by tactor 14r, Bert/Hr = \$505/Bale = \$1/2 \$2/5/SY Labor: 2/TTs 10 fr each bale x \$1/br Operating Cost = \$7/rr Total = 15 + 7 = \$22 \$22/44.4 SY = \$0.00/SY Equip: 1.418/bale = \$0.00/SY Cost = 0.70+0.70+0.00+0.70+0.00=\$3.0/SY Equip: 1.50 fr = \$1.00 + 0.25 = \$12.25/S	N	12.25	3.30	1.00			73

)

)

.

1

PAGE 7

)

)

 $\cdot$ 

)

)

PAGE 8
--------

)

)

)

PROJECT LOCATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA PROJECT NAME: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35 CONTRACT NUMBER: CTO-0275 HTRW UNIT COST/ COST UNIT UNIT UNIT UNIT UNIT UNIT UNIT UNI												, inc.	
LITTOW			UNIT	COST/	1	COST	T	UNIT	UNIT	UNIT	UNIT	UNIT	TOTAL
ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	OF	UNIT	DESCRIPTION	BASIS	SUB	MATL	LABOR	EQUIPT	SUB	TRAVEL	CONTRACT
NUMBER			MEASURE	(\$)		(Means/Other)	(Y/N)	COST	COST	COST	COST	COST	COST(\$)
		Т											
33.01.06.4	Power Connection/Distribution		IS	4 285	Sum/Temporary Electric	Assume 300' to electric/phone sources - 3 poles							4,285
0.01.00.00			EA	209	100 amp Service Feed	Office Trailer Service Feed	Y	115	94				
					for Office Trailer	Means 167-130-0680, office trailer							
]	j					100 amp service feed						j	
						Mat'l: \$115 ea							
			1			Labor: \$94 ea							
1		2	EA	788	20° high pole	Install 20' high Power/Phone Pole	1	352	33/	119			
1						Means 104-110-0100, dig note for pole						ļ	
						iabor: \$116 as							
ſ			[			Equip: \$77 ea	1	Í		1			[ [
			1			Means 167-110-2000, furnish &		1					
1						install pole (no crossbar)	1	1				ļ	
					1	Mat'i: \$332 ea		1				1	
4						Labor: \$221 ea	1						
					1	Equip: \$42 ea		1		1		1	
s						Total Install Pole:			1				
	}					Mat1: \$332 ea							
						Labor: 116 + 221 = \$33/ 48							
		200	16	13	Flectric Conductors, concer	Instal Flectric Conductors	l v	937	313				
1					2/0 + 2/0 peutral, insulated	Assume 100-200 amp service, 300-500 LF run							
						Means 161-145-4400 copper,		1					
						2/0 + 2/0 neutral			1	1			
1			1		(	Mat1: \$937/CLF	(	[	(	(		[	"
						Labor: \$313/CLF			L	ļ		┟┯━━━	I
33.01.05.03	Telephone/Communications Hookup	1	LS	570	Sum/Temporary Phone	Terran and Shares Unitern	<del> </del>		200			<u> </u>	5/0
		1 1	EA	250	Phone Hookup	Arright and the entry pole installed	1						
			<b>`</b>			for temporary electric		1					
Ĩ		1	1		1	Assume electrical sub does work	1	(	1			1	((
1						Assume Mat'l: \$ 50 ea		1	1				
1						Labor: \$200 ea		1	1			1	
		200	ᄕ	1.60	Phone Line	Install Phone Line	Y	61	99				
1						Assume 50 % of Means 161-145-2400 approximates costs	1						
1	1	1 •	1		1	Mat'l: \$ 61/CLF	1	1					
					Tomo and Million Manhart	Labor: \$ 99/GLP	+	=	20			┼────	80
33.01.05.04	Water Connection/Distribution	1 1	, <b>FA</b>	80	to Stra Hustmant	Accume hooks at reachy fre hydratt for	1	] ~	۳ (			J	, ~ ~ (
1						water tanker or decon area: does not				1		1	
1						include any piping or greater					1		
ł			1		1	than 25' hoses.	1	1	1	1	1		1 ·
1					1	Assume Mat'l: \$ 50 ea							
		1	1			Labor: \$ 30 ea			· ·	1			
L	1	1	<u> </u>				L	L	L	L	[	<u>(</u>	<u></u>

)

)

)

.

)

)

1

· ~ ••••6

)

)

)

PROJECT LOC PROJECT NAM	ATTON: MOB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORTH CAROLI E: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35 IMBER: 010-0275	NA								DESIGN ST DATE: PREPARED	ATUS: Final 21-Dec-94 BY: Baker E	nvironmental	, Inc.
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	DESCRIPTION	COST BASIS (Means/Other)	SUB (Y/N)	UNIT MAT'L COST	UNIT LABOR COST	UNIT EQUIPT COST	UNIT SUB COST	UNIT TRAVEL COST	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(6)
33.02	Monitoring, Sampling, Testing, Analysis												
33,02,08 33,02,08,04	SAMPLING SOIL AND SEDIMENT Shipping and Handling	10	EA	76	FEDEX - Cooler	Assume \$75/cooler Excernation/disposal scheduled for approx. 6 weeks.	Y				75		750
33.02.08.90	Excevation Material - Confirmation Samples (obtained from excavation sidewalls)	29	EA	40	Confirmation Sampling-Labor & Misc. Expenses Required: 1 sample per 50 LF of sidewall Area A: 650 LF/50 = 13 samples Area B: 270 LF/50 = 10 samples Area C: 460 LF/50 = 10 samples No foor confirmation samples required	Assume 1-moran or excavazion activities, coolers and 2 Jonneet Sampling-Labor Assume Recovery Tech 2+tr/sample @ \$15/tr = \$30 Misc. Sampling & Decon Expenses @ \$10/sample	N	10	30				1,180
33.02.08.91	Excavation Material - Characterization Samples of Contaminated Soli	5	EA	40	Sampling-Labor Assume 5 samples required by recycling facility	Sampling-Labor Assume Recovery Tech 24r/sample @ \$15/hr = \$30 Misc. Sampling & Decon Expenses @ \$10/sample	N	10	30				200
33,02,06,92	Excevation Material - Samples for Characterization of Potentially Clean Soli	28	EA	40	Sempling-Labor Assume 5,500 CY soil to be reused; 1 semple/200 CY = 28 semples featured	Sampling-Labor Assume Recovery Tech 24r/sample @ \$15/hr = \$30 Misc. Sampling & Decon Expenses @ \$10/sample	N	10	. 30				1,120
33,02,08,93	Incidental Wastes - Decon/Dewatering Samples	5	EA	40	Sampling-Labor	Sampling-Labor Assume Recovery Tech 21#/sample @ \$15/hr = \$30 Misc. Sampling & Decon Expenses @ \$10/sample	N	10	30				200
33.02.06.94	Field Screening Test Kits - TPH	50	SAMPLES	56	Ensys Immuno-Assay Test Kits - TPH Assume 2 samples per test kit	Sampling-Labor & Materiais (test ldts) Assume Recovery Tech 1-tri/sample @ \$15/hr = \$15 Assume test ldt cost = \$22 each = \$41/sample Assume 30 samples in the excavations Assume 20 samples in the stockpile area	N	41	15				2,800
33.02.09	LABORATORY CHEMICAL ANALYSIS												
33,02.09.03	Incidental Wastes Analysis (water) TCLP - Total Profile	5	EA	877	SunvPriority Pollutant Analysis Sources - Decon/Dewatering	TCL - VOAs & SVOAs Baker BOA \$877.00 ea Includes data validation	Ý				877		4,385
33.02.09.05	Waste Characterization Analysis (soil) Hazardous Waste (RCRA) Analysis				Sum/Miscellaneous Waste Analysis								43,494
	TCLP - Total Profile RCRA Characteristics TPH (5030/8015) TPH (3550/8015)	33 33 33 33	EA EA EA EA	877 115 152 174	28 clean soil samples + 5 contaminated soil samples	TCLP - Total Profile         Beker BOA \$977.00           RCRA Characteristics         Beker BOA \$115.00           TPH         (5030/9015)         Beker BOA \$969 X = \$132 + \$13 = \$145           TPH         (3550/8015)         Beker BOA \$77 X 2 = \$154 + \$14 = \$163           Above include data validation         ROM         ROM	Y Y Y Y				877 115 152 174		
33.02.09.07	Confirmation Analysis (908) TPH (5030/8015) TPH (3550/8015)	29 29	EA EA	145 168	SunySoil Analysis 29 sidewall confirmation samples	Baker BOA x 2 for 7 day or less turn around TPH (5030/8015) Baker BOA \$66 x 2 = \$132 + \$13 = \$145 TPH (3550/8015) Baker BOA \$77 x 2 = \$164 + \$14 = \$168 Both Include data validation	Y Y				145		9,07:

)

)

)

)

)

Ì

3

PAGE 10

1

)

)

PROJECT LOC PROJECT NAM CONTRACT NU	NECT LOCATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA DESIGN STATUS: Finel DATE: 21-Dec-04 DATE: 21-Dec-04 PREPARED BY: Baker Environmental, Inc. PREPARED BY: Baker Environmental, Inc.													
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	DESCRIPTION	COST BASIS (Meana/Other)	SUB (Y/N)	UNIT MAT'L COST	UNIT LABOR COST	UNIT EQUIPT COST	UNIT SUB COST	UNIT TRAVEL COST	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)	
33.63	BITE WORK													
33.03.01	DEMOLITION Assume AST Fuel Farm and appurtenances removed prior to the start of this contract. Assume all utilities removed by others prior to the start of this contract.									•••			2.778	
33.03.01.90	Romove F Street at Area A	584	SY	3.90	Demo Roadwey	Demo Roadway 6,258 SF = 584 SY Means 020-554-1710 pavement removal, 3' bituminous Labor: \$1,60/SY Equip: \$2,30/SY	N .		1.60	230			42/8	
33,03,02.01 33,03,02.01	CLEARING AND GRUBBING Clear and Grub Work Areas	1.25	AC	3,850	Clear & Grub - medium trees	Means 021-104-0200 clear & grub medium brush & stumps, trees to 12° dia, out & chip Labor: \$1,825/acre Equip: \$2,025/acre	N		1,825	2,025			4,813	

)

)

)

1

)

4

)

)

PROJECT LOC PROJECT NAM CONTRACT NU	Oject Location: MCB Camp Lejeune, Jacksonville, North Carolina     Design Status: Final       Oject NAME:     Remediation of Soil, OU # 10, Site 35       WTRACT NUMBER:     CTO-0275												
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	DESCRIPTION	COST BASIS (Means/Other)	SUB (Y/N)	UNIT MAT'L COST	UNIT LABOR COST	UNIT EQUIPT COST	UNIT SUB COST	UNIT TRAVEL COST	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)
33.03.05-	FENCING Assume existing fencing removed by others prior to start of this contract. WELL ABANDONMENT												
33.02.90.90	Remove Existing Monitoring Wells and Grout	4 1 30 2	EA EA LF HR	780 300 6 150	Sum/Remove Monitoring Weil, Grout	Assume wells 30' deep. Baker BOA costs & Vendor quote: Mobilization of personnel, equipment & supplies Abandonment of 2" dia. PVC wells - includes well stok-up removal, PVC casing/screen removal, tramie grout borshole to surface. includes well stok-up removal, PVC casing/screen removal, tramie grout borshole to surface. Standby time, elte cleanup	Y Y Y				300 6 150		3,120

1

)

)

ì

)

PAGE 11

)

)

)

PAGE 12

)

)

PROJECT LOCATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA DESIGN ST. PROJECT NAME: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35 CONTRACT NUMBER: CTO-0275 DATE: DA													
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	DESCRIPTION	COST BASIS (Means/Other)	SUB (Y/N)	UNIT MAT'L COST	UNIT LABOR COST	UNIT EQUIPT COST	UNIT SUB COST	UNIT TRAVEL COST	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)
33.08.~ 33.05.07 33.05.07.01	BURFACE WATER COLLECTION AND CONTROL SEDIMENT BARRIERS SIT Fonce	960	LF	4.75	SHIT Fence Area A = 390 LF Area B = 210 LF Area C = 390 LF Total = 960 LF	Navy CES database (a/ 2/91) - Item BMLD Temp Sediment Fence LANTDIV detail SF Hattl: \$2.00/LF Labor: \$2.75/LF	N	2.00	275				4,500

)

)

ì

)

-

4

3

-

PAGE 13

ì

)

)

PROJECT LOC PROJECT NAM CONTRACT NU	CT LOCATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA     DESIGN STATUS: Final       ECT NAME:     REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35       DATE:     21-Dec-94       PREPARED BY:     Back Environmental, inc.													
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	DESCRIPTION	COST BASIS (Meenu/Other)	SUB (Y/N)	UNIT MAT'L COST	UNIT LABOR COST	UNIT EQUIPT COST	UNIT SUB COST	UNIT TRAVEL COST	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)	
33.08.~	SOLIDS COLLECTION AND CONTAINMENT													
33,08,01, 33,08,01,00	EXCAVATION Excernition by backhoe (track)	9,100	cr	497	Excavate Contaminated Sediment Load into Dump Trucks, Insui to Stockpile Area (1,000 +/- yards)	Assume Means 022-238-0200 backhoe, track, 1 CY Assume 30 % increase for preliminary clean/contaminated segregation at excavation site Labor; \$0.79 x 1.3 = \$1.03/CY Equip; \$1.12 x 1.3 = \$1.48/CY Assume Means 022-288-0310 had 1/4 mile round trip 30 % increase due to preliminary segregation at loading Labor; \$0.54 x 1.3 = \$0.70/CY Equip; \$1.37 x 1.3 = \$1.78/CY Totals - Labor; \$1.03 + \$0.70 = \$1.73/CY Equip; \$1.46 + \$1.78 = \$3.24/CY	N		1.73	324			45,227	
33.08.01.91	Segregation of Clean/Contaminated Solis at Stocipile Area, Includes Loading of Trucks for Disposal or for Backfil	9,100	сү	1.04	Segregate & Load	Assume Means 022-216-4060 front end loader,wheel, 1.5 CY Assume 50 % increase for clean/contaminated segregation at stockpile area Labor: \$0.32 x 1.5 = \$0.48/CY Equip: \$0.37 x 1.5 = \$0.56/CY	N		0.48	0.56			9,464	

)

)

)

)

)

PAGE 14

-ì

)

PROJECT LOC PROJECT NAM CONTRACT NU	CCT LOCATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA  CCT NAME: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35  CCT NAME: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35  PREPARED BY: Baker Environmental, inc.  TRW  UNIT COST UNIT UNIT UNIT UNIT TOTAL													
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	DESCRIPTION	COST BASIS (Neens/Other)	SUB (Y/N)	UNIT MAT'L COST	UNIT LABOR COST	UNIT EQUIPT COST	UNIT SUB COST	UNIT TRAVEL COST	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)	
33,19,	DISPOBAL (COMMERCIAL)													
33,19,02	TRANSPORT TO STORAGE/DISPOSAL FACILITY       Contaminated Soli:       Geotextile Liner:         Assume 1.35 T/CY - 3,000 CY = 4,960 tons       Assume 5,#/SY - 4,700 SY = 12 tons         Road & Perking Lot Demolition:       Decon area:         Assume 1.35 T/CY - 10 CY = 150 tons       Assume 1.35 T/CY - 19 CY = 26 tons         Geotextile Cover:       Staw Beles;         Assume 2#/SY - 2,350 SY = 2 ton       Assume to #/beles; = 0 tons													
33,19.02.01	Hauling/Unloading of Solids (Contaminated Soli)	4,860	TON	10		Vender quote \$10/Ton	Ŷ				10		48,600	
33, 19.02.90	Hauling - Site Restoration Wastes Liner – 12 T Cover = 2 T Straw Bales = 6 T Road/Parking Demo = 150 T Decon Area = 28 T	196	TON	10		Vender quote \$10/Ton	Ŷ				10		1,960	
33,19.03.~ 33,19.03.01	DISPOSAL FEES & TAXES Landfill - Site Restoration Wastes	196	TON	20		Vender quote \$20/Ton	Y				20		3,920	
33,19.03.90	Disposal of Contaminated Soil - Soil Recycling	4,860	TON	25		Vender quote \$25/Ton	Y				8		121,500	

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

Í

)

PAGE 15

)

)

PROJECT LOCATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA PROJECT NAME: REMEDIATION OF \$OIL, OU # 10, SITE 35 CONTRACT NUMBER: CTO-0275 UNIT UNIT UNIT UNIT												invironmental,	, Inc.
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	DESCRIPTION	COST BASIS (Means/Other)	SUB (Y/N)	UNIT MAT'L COST	UNIT LABOR COST	UNIT EQUIPT COST	UNIT SUB COST	UNIT TRAVEL COST	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)
33.20	SITE RESTORATION												
33.20.01.03	Becktill	9,100	CY	11.58	Sum/Backfil		N		1.91	2.96	22.53		105,366
		5,500	CY CY	3.54 23.66	Clean soil reused for backfill On-base material to replace contaminated soil Assume no material clean soil, reused: Haul from stockpie area to excavation sites (1,500 +/-feet) Clean soil, on-base borrow: Excavate & haul 20+ miles RT to excavation sites	Assume Means 022-286-0320 haul 1/2 mile round htp           Labor: \$0.85/CY           Equip: \$11.58/CY           Means 022-208-0320 spreading backfill material           Labor: \$0.37/CY           Equip: \$0.37/CY           Means 022-228-5040 compact backfill           Labor: \$0.37/CY           Equip: \$0.37/CY           Equip: \$0.32/CY           Totals -           Labor: \$0.27/CY           Equip: \$0.32/CY           Totals -           Labor: \$0.827/CY           Equip: \$0.32/CY           Totals -           Labor: \$0.83 + 0.37 + 0.27 = \$1.27/CY           Equip: \$0.32/CY           On-base Material (Sub - excerve & haud only):           Means 022-216-4050 borrow, bark measure,           loaded into 12 CY hauer, haud not included,           3/4 CY FE loader (wheel)           Labor: \$0.86/CY           Equip: \$0.47/CY           Assume Means 022-226-5000 breading backfill material (contr.)           Labor: \$0.37/CY           Means 022-226-5000 compact backfill (contr.)           Labor: \$0.37/CY           Means 022-226-5000 compact backfill (contr.)           Labor: \$0.37/CY           Means 022-226-5000 compact backfill (contr.)           Labor: \$0.37/CY	NY		1.27	227	225	(sub - on-bas	n solt, reused) se material)

)

)

9

)

)

-

)

)

)

PAGE 16

)

)

PROJECT LOC	CT LOCATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA												
PROJECT NAM	E: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35									DATE: PREPARED	21-DEC-94 RV Baker F	- mimomental	inc.
CONTRACT NU	JMBER: CTO-0275						1	19497	LINIT	LINIT	UNIT	UNIT	TOTAL
HTRW			UNIT	COST/	DESCRIPTION	BASIS	SUB	MATL	LABOR	EQUIPT	SUB	TRAVEL	CONTRACT
ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	MEASURE	(\$)	DESCRIPTION	(Means/Other)	(Y/N)	COST	COST	COST	COST	COST	COST(\$)
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
33 20 03 90	Reconstruct E Street	584	SY	12	Sum/Repaye F Street	Per speca.: 2.5" wearing course, 4" binder course,	N						6,903
						no stone sub-base							
1		584	SY	5	2.5" wearing course	Means 025-105-0420 wearing course, 2.5"	N	4.12	0.42	0,36			
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					Mat'l: \$4.12/SY	1						
1						Labor: \$0.42/SY	1						
1						Equip: \$0.38/SY	1						
		684	SY	7	4" binder course	Means 025-105-0200 binder course, 4"	<sup>n</sup>	0.90	0.00	0.44			
1						Mat'l: \$5.96/SY			1				
						Labor; \$0.50/51	1						
1						Equip: #0.44201		ļ	1				
							ł			1			
							1						
33.20.04	REVEGEIATION Constitution/Heartificat	49	MSF	22	Seeding Site -	Means 029-308-5300 utility seed mbr, tractor spreader	Y	1294	4.44	4,12			1,064
53.20.04.01	Calacter Shumoro e La minor			_	Area A = 1,950 SY			[	1				
					Area B = 1,380 SY	Mat'l: \$12.94/MSF	j	]	J				
					Area C = 2,070 SY	Labor: \$ 4.44/MSF				1			
х.					Total = 5,400 SY = 48,600 SF	Equip: \$ 4.12/MSF			1				
33.20.90	REMOVAL OF STOCKPILE LINER & COVER	1											
33.20.90.90	Removal of Stockpile Liner	4,700	SY	0.19	Removal of Stockpile Liner	Assume costs = 25% of installation labor & equipment	N		0.13	0.05			660
	1	ł			& loadout for disposal	From 33.01.04.90;							
		1	1			Labor: \$0.53 x 0.25 = \$0.13							
						Equip: \$0.25 x 0.25 = \$0.06							
L				0.00	Removel of Stocknik Cover	Assume costs = 25% of installation lebor & equipment	N	1	0.06	0.03		<b>†</b>	212
33.20.90.91	Hernoval of Stocipile Cover	2,300	31	0.08	& Straw Rales	From 33.01.04.90:				1			
1		1			& loadout for disposal	Labor: \$0.23 x 0.25 = \$0.06	J	J		]	]		
1						Equip: \$0.10 x 0.25 = \$0.03		1	1	1		1	
		1	1 `				1			1			
1								L	<u> </u>	L	L		U

)

)

)

)

)

.....

)

)

)

)

PROJECT LOC	ATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE JACKSONVILLE NORTH CAROLI	NA.	····		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					DESIGN \$1	TATUS: Final		
PROJECT NAM	IE: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35									DATE:	21-DEC-94		<b>1</b>
CONTRACT N	UMBER: CTO-0275		·····		r					PREPAREL	D BY: Baker I	reference	, inc.
HTRW			UNIT	COST/		COST		UNIT		UNIT			TOTAL
ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	OF	UNIT	DESCRIPTION	BASIS (Means/Other)	SUB CY/N	COST	COST	COST	COST	COST	COST(\$)
NUMBER			In Lowering	(*)									
33.21	DEMOBILIZATION												
3921.01-	REMOVAL OF TEMPORARY FACILITIES			1									
33,21.01.01	Office Trater	2	la la	147	Sum/Demob Office Trailer								220
						Demob Office Trailer					1		
1		1	EA	60		Assume 2-fills 2-hr. each to demob trailer	N		<b>6</b> 0		1	ł	8
	1	1.	E.			2 X 2 hr X \$15/17 = \$60 Assume 2.5Te 3.br each to clean trailer	N		60		1		1
		1		l ~		2x2brx\$15/br = \$60				1			1
ł		1 1	EA	100		Assume trailer return cost same as	Y		1	1	100		
						in Mobilization (33.01.01.91)			ļ				
	Desertante des Construction	1.	1.0		Remove Decort	Remove meter decor pad			145	22	500		667
33.21.01.05	En inment	1	<b>1</b> 2	<b>0</b> 0/	Facilities for	Assume:				-			
· ·		1		ļ	Const. Equipment	Wood demoition 2-RTs 3-hr each (<50% installation)			1	1			
			1			Metal sections 2-RTs 1-hr each (same as installation)				1			1
						t-EO 1 hr				1			
				1		1-utility tractor 1 hr							
1			1	1		labor: (2x3x515/hr) + (2x1x515/hr)			1	1			
						+(1x1x825/hr) = \$145					]		
						Equip: 1 hr x \$22/hr = \$22							
33.21.01.24	Security Fencing (Temporary Safety Fencing)	1,550	មេ	0.61	Remove Temporary Safety Fencing	Assume 50% of installation labor to remove	N	[	0.61	1		ł	946
						Labor: \$0.61/LF		l					
33.21.01.90	Sit Fencing	960	UF	0.25	Remove Silt Fencing	Assume 50% of installation labor to remove	N		0.25			1	240
		1	· ·			Labor: \$0.50/LF		1				1 ·	
33.21.02	REMOVAL OF TEMPORARY UTILITIES			1									439
33.21.02.02	Power Connection/Distribution	1 1	1.18	432	Sum/Remove Power	Bernove Power Connection/Distribution (see 33.01.05.02)				1			
			) is	47	COLLING OF DISTRICTION	Office Trailor Service Feed-	Y				47		
		1	-			Assume 1/2 installation labor							
		1 1	LS	228		Poles - assume 1/2 (installation labor + equipment)	Y	1		1	228		
		1	81	157		Conductors - assume 1/2 installation labor	l Y			1	157		
		· ·	1							. I			
33,21,02,03	Telephone/Communications Hookup	1. 1	LS	150	Sum/Remove Telephone								150
	·····	1	EA	100		Remove Temporary Phone Hookup	Y		100				
				<u> </u>	]	Assume 1/2 installation labor				1	}		1)
l		1	1 EA	50		Hemove Phone Line from poles	l Y		<sup>∞</sup>	1	1	1	Į.
1			1	1		Assume 1/2 installation labor & equipment costs		1		1			
33.21.02.04	Water Connection/Distribution	1	LS	30	Sum/Remove Water				1	1		I	30
			1		Connection/Distribution	Remove Water Connection				1	1		
		1		1		Assume same labor costs					1	1	1
1	1				1	as installation (see 33.01.05.04)		1	1	1	1		
1		1	EA	30		TVSter Connection Herrioval		1	~	1	1	1	
				L				<u>.</u>					

)

)

)

)

)

)

	PAGE	18	

)

)

)

PROJECT LOCATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA     DESIGN STATUS: Final       PROJECT NAME:     REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35       CONTRACT NUMBER:     CTO-0275													
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	DESCRIPTION	COST BASIS (Means/Other)	SUB (Y/N)	UNIT MAT'L COST	UNIT LABOR COST	UNIT EQUIPT COST	UNIT SUB COST	UNIT TRAVEL COST	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)
33.21.04	DEMOBILIZATION OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIP.				Sum Dunch Construction For in most								2,890
33.21.04.07		1	13	2,000	Suny Jemob Construction Equipment	Derech Construction For imment							<u>_</u>
		8	EA	60		Decon of major equipment	j n		60			]	
						Assume 2-RTs 2-hr each for each piece							
						of major equipment						1	
		1				2 x 2 hr x \$15/hr = \$80						Į	
		1 1	LS	2,400		Assume demobilization costs same as	Y						
			1			mobilization costs (see 33.01.01.90)						<b></b>	l
			1		1	1	1	{					
33.21.05	DemoBilization of Personnel Releastion of Superstrand Personnel		10	263	Demoh Supervision/ Personnel	Assume same costs as mobilization (33.01.02.01)	+						263
33.21.05.01	Belocation of Labor Craw			445	Demoh Labor Crew	Assume same costs as mobilization (33.01.02.91)	<sup>−</sup> <del>v</del> −						445
M24.00.02		l í							[				1
33.21.06	POST-CONSTRUCTION SUBMITTALS											l	I
33.21.06.01	Punch List	1	ع ا	180	Punch List	Assume Site Supervisor 6-hr: 6 hrx30/hr=\$180	N		180				180
33.21.06.03	Post-Construction Documentation (Disposal	1	LS	180	Post-Construction	Assume Site Supervisor 6-hr: 6 hrx30/hr=\$180	N		180				190
1.5	Certifications)	1			Documentation				<b> </b>			<u> </u>	
33.21.06.06	Construction Documentation Report (Final	1 1	1.8	1,810	Sum/Construction			<b></b>	Į				1,810
1	Engineering Report)		1.		Documentation Report	Assume Close-Out Report Consisting of:		1	l				
1			hrs			Introduction - Lecture - Tech	N		20				
[						Einel Manith & Galaty Banart - Tach		ł	20				l A
Į						Summary of Record Documents - Tech	N	i i	20				
Į	1	l a	ha		]	Field Changes/Contract MODs - Tech.	N		20				H
1			hrs			Final Documents + Tech.	N	[	20			1	(
I		4	hrs			Summary of Testing - Tech.	N		20				1
1	1	4	hra		1	Off-Site Disposal of Materials - Tech.	N	ſ	20			1	A
1	1	8	hrs		1	QC Summary Report - Tech.	,N		20			1	
		16	`hn			Technical Supervision	N		30				
l		20	hrs			Cierical	N	1	10			- ·	
1		6	hrs			Reproduction		<b> </b> -	10				206
33.21.06.07	As Built Drawings	1 1		206	Sunvas-Built Drawings	Annung City Dupandhan & by		<b> </b>	Į	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	
1	1				1	A 200/ ****	1	1				1	1
l			nrs			Assume Draffing Tech (CAD) 2hr	1 "	1	۳ ۳				
I		2.	bra		1	2 x \$13/v = \$ 25	N	1	13			1	
	1	1	""					1	1				

)

)

)

)

)

1

)

)

1

PROJECT LOCA	DESIGN STATUS: Find															
PROJECT NAME: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OV # 10, SITE 35 DATE												DATE: 21-DEC-94				
CONTRACT NU	IMBER: CTO-0275									PREPARED BY: Baker Environmental, Inc.						
HTRW			UNIT	COST/		COST		UNIT	UNIT	UNIT	UNIT	UNIT	TOTAL			
ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	OF	UNIT	DESCRIPTION	BASIS	SUB	MATL	LABOR	EQUIPT	SUB	TRAVEL	CONTRACT			
NUMBER			MEASURE	(\$)		(Means/Other)	(Y/N)	COST	cost	COST	COST	<u></u>	0031(\$)			
33.89	DISTRIBUTIVE COSTS		1 1			Assume project duration =										
					· ·	75 days = 11 Hours = 25 Hours										
33.99.01	SUPERVISION/MANAGEMENT		54	3 150		Project Manadet:	N		3,150				0,160			
33,99.01.01	Holect Wanager	J. '	5	3,150		Assume 5 briweek x 18 weeks x \$35/br = \$ 3,150										
	Constant Constant of the Company		EA	13.000		General Superintendent (Site Supervisor):	N		13,200				13,200			
33,498,01.02	Cartain Coloring and Carta and a succession	· ·	] -		1	Assume 40 hr/week x 11 weeks x \$30/hr = \$13,200							) 1			
	Field Engineer (Project Control Tech)	1	FA	8,800		Project Control Tech - Excavation & Sampling:	N		8,800				8,800			
	The Lighter (Topor control tout)	l í				Assume 40 hr/week x 11 weeks x \$20/hr = \$ 8,800										
			[										1 1			
33.99.03	OFFICE MANAGEMENT															
33,99.03.03	Accountant	1	EA	660		Accountant:	N		660				<b>6</b> 00			
			1			Assume 3 hr/week x 11 weeks x \$20/hr = \$ 660										
33.99.03.09	Typist/Secretary	1 1	EA	440		Typist/Secretary:	N		440				440			
						Assume 4 hr/week x 11 weeks x \$10/hr = \$ 440				1						
33.99.04	ENGINEERING		1										440			
33.99.04.02	Civil Engineer	1	EA	440		Civil Engineer:	N									
× .						Assume 2 hr/week x 11 weeks x \$20/hr = \$ 440							550			
33,99.04.17	Quality Control Engineer	1	EA	550		QAVQC Oversignt (QA Engineer):	"		. ~~							
						ASSUME 2 FE/WOOK X 11 WOOKS X ACONE = \$ 550			)							
33,99.05	PURCHASING	1.	- EA	975		Purchasing Agent:	N		275				276			
33.99.05.02	Purchasing Agent	! '	5	2/0	1	Assume 1 br/week x 11 weeks x \$25/hr = \$ 275			)							
	FOUNDMENT MAINTENANCE AND MOTOR POOL								1	1						
33.99.07.4	Mechania	Ι.	FA	145	]	Mechanic	) N		165				165			
33.88.07.02		'				Assume 1 hr/week x 11 weeks x \$15/hr = \$ 165	1									
										1						
33 99.06 -	TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION FACILITIES	1	1	ſ	ſ	Assume full month's costs for partial month's use.	í	1	[	[						
33,99.08.01	Office Trater	3	MO	171	1 @ 3 mo each # 3 mo	Office Trailer: 1 @ 3 mo each = 3 mo	Y				171		513			
	[	1	1 •		1	Means 015-904-0350 office trailer 32' x 8', rental	1	1	1							
33.99.06.09	Tolete (Portable)	3	мо	78	1 @ 3 mo each = 3 mo	Tollets (Portable): 1 @ 3 mo each = 3 mo	Y		1		78		234			
1		1	1	1		Means 018-420-8410 rental		{	l I	1			482			
33.99.08.17	Office Equipment/Furnishings	3	мо	154	1 @ 3 mo each = 3 mo	Office Equipment/Furnishings: 1 @ 3 mo each = 3 mo	ľ	1	1		154		402			
1		1	1		2	Means 010-034-0100 rental	ł		1	1						
		<u> </u>			1			L	L			Ļ	<u></u>			

3

)

)

2

)

PAGE 19

)

COMPREHENSIVE LONG-TERM ENVIRONMENTAL ACTION NAVY (CLEAN)

)

+)

PAGE 20

1

)

)

PROJECT LOC PROJECT NAM CONTRACT NU	ROJECT LOCATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA ROJECT NAME: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35 ONTRACT NUMBER: CTO-0275 ONTRACT NUMBER: CTO-0275												
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	DESCRIPTION	COST BASIS (Meana/Other)	SUB (Y/N)	UNIT MAT'L COST	UNIT LABOR COST	UNIT EQUIPT COST	UNIT SUB COST	UNIT TRAVEL COST	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)
33.99.09.~ 33.99.09.01 33.99.09.02 33.99.09.04	TEMPORARY UTILITIES Power Water Telephone	25 25 25	MO MO MO	200 56 259		Assume \$200/month Means 015-104-0700 Means 010-034-0140	Y Y Y				200 56 259		0 500 140 648
33,99,13,- 33,99,13,01	VEHICLES FOR PERSONNEL Pickup Trucks (1)	2.75	мо	555		Means 016-420-7200 Assume 2.75 months approximates costs for 2 months + 2 weeks	Y				555		0 1,526
33.99.15 33.99.15.01	Certified Industrial Hygienist	1	EA	220		Certified Industrial Hygienist: Assume 1 hr/weekx 11 weeks x \$20/hr = \$ 220	N		220				220
33.99.15.10	Site Safety & Health Officer	1	· EA	220		Site Safety & Health Officer: Assume 1 hr/week x 11 weeks x \$20/hr = \$ 220	N		220				220
33,99,15.17	Personnel Protective Equipment (HNu, LEL, vent fans)	1	LS	<del>51</del> 15		Personnel Protective Equipment: (HNu, LEL) Assume HNU = \$ 500/month LEL = \$1,000/month Tyveks = 6 persons x 65 working days x (\$2/byvek + \$1/gotves) = \$990 Total = 2.75 x \$1,500/month + \$990 LS = \$ 5,115 LS					5,115	•	5,115
33 99 18	MISCELLANEOUS COSTS												٥
33.99.16.06	Project Travel - Home Office Personnel	3	EA	780	Sum/Project Travel -	Project Travel - Home Office to Site							2,340
		1	EA	810 720	Home Office to Site	Project Manager - Assume 2-trips Labor: 6 hr/trip x 835/tr = 8210 Travel: plane fare \$800/trip Site Safety & Health Officer - Assume 1 trip Labor: 6 hr/trip x \$20/tr = \$120 Travel: plane fare \$800/trip	N		120			600 600	

1

3

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

PAGE 21

)

)

PROJECT LOCA PROJECT NAM CONTRACT NU	IECT LOCATION: MCB CAMP LEJEUNE, JACKSONVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA IECT NAME: REMEDIATION OF SOIL, OU # 10, SITE 35 TRACT NUMBER: CTO-0275 PREPARED BY: Baker Environmental, Inc.												
HTRW ACCOUNT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF ITEM	QUANT.	UNIT OF MEASURE	COST/ UNIT (\$)	DESCRIPTION	COST BASIS (Means/Other)	SUB (Y/N)	UNIT MAT'L COST	UNIT LABOR COST	UNIT EQUIPT COST	UNIT SUB COST	UNIT TRAVEL COST	TOTAL CONTRACT COST(\$)
33,99,16,90	Per Diem - Home Office Personnel	3	EA	171	Per Diem - Home Office Personnel	Per Diem - Home Office Personnel: Assume 2-days on-site per bip with 2-rights lodging & 3.5 days meels Per Diem - Meels \$22/day Lodging \$40/day X.5 days = \$91/trip Lodging: \$40/day X.2 days = \$91/trip Lodging: \$40/day X.2 days = \$90/trip Total = \$171/trip	N					171	513
33.99.16.92	Site Personnel Per Diem (Meals)	450	DAY	26	Sum/Site Personnel Per Diem (Meals)	Project Travel - Site Personnel Per Diem (Meals) Per Diem - Meals \$28/dev							11,700
		75	DAY	26		General Superintendent (Site Supervisor) Assume 2.5 months = 75 days @ \$28/day	N					26	
		75	DAY	26		Project Control Tech Assume 2.5 months = 75 days @ \$28/day	N					25	
		150	DAY	26		2-Recovery Techs	N			1		28	
		150	DAY	26		Assume 2125 months = 100 days @ \$28/day 2-Equipment Operators Assume 2125 months = 150 days @ \$28/day	N					26	
33,99,16,93	Site Personnel Per Diem (Lodging)	450	DAY	40	Sum/Site Personnel	Project Travel - Site Personnel Per Diem (Lodging)							18,000
		75	DAY	40	Per Diem (Lodging)	Lodging \$40/day General Superintendent (Site Supervisor) Assume 2.5 months = 75 days @ \$40/day	N					40	
		75	DAY	40		Project Control Tech Assume 2.5 months = 75 days @ \$40/day	N			[		40	
		150	DAY	40		2-Recovery Techs Assume 2 x 25 months = 150 days @ \$40/day	N					40	
		150	DAY	40		2 Equipment Operators Assume 2 x 25 months = 150 days @ \$40/day	N					40	
	TOTAL												500.077
													662,877

7

)

)

•

)

1



SOIL REMEDIATION AT OPERABLE UNIT NO. 10, SITE 35 MARINE CORPS BASE CAMP LEJEUNE, NORTH CAROLINA

	<u></u>	М	ay 1994				June 1994		July 1994				
Description	5/1	5/8	5/15	5/22	5/29	6/5	6/12	6/19	6/26	7/3	7/10	7/17	
MOBILIZATION											<b>W H</b> - <b>X</b> + <b>H</b> - <b>H</b>		
LAYDOWN / PRECONTAMINATION / STAGING AREAS													
EROSION AND SEDIMANT CONTROL													
DEMOLISH "F" STREET													
CLEARING AND GRUBBING					и ст. т. т								
EXCAVATION / WASTE DISPOSAL													
SOIL SEGREGATION / STOCKPILING													
CONFIRMATORY SAMPLING													
BACKFILL					, .								
REVEGETATION													
DEMOBILIZATION													

•