

State of North Carolina Department of Environment, Health, and Natural Resources Division of Solid Waste Management P.O. Box 27687 · Raleigh, North Carolina 27611-7687

James G. Martin, Governor William W. Cobey, Jr., Secretary William L. Meyer Director

November 16, 1992

Commander, Atlantic Division

Naval Facilities Engineering Command

Code 1822

Attention:

MCB Camp Lejeune, RPM

Mr. Byron Brant, P.E.

Norfolk, Virginia 23511-6287

Commanding General

Attention:

AC/S, Environmental Management

Building 1, Marine Corps Base

Camp Lejeune, North Carolina 28542-5001

RE:

MCB Camp Lejeune

Jacksonville, NC General Comments

Dear Mr. Brant:

I am currently reviewing documents including Draft RI/FS Project Plans O.U. # 1 & 5 and a number of SI's. This work should be completed in accord with the Fiscal Year 1993 Site Management Plan.

As work is continuing on this, I have been anticipating future work and concerns as it relates to the current projects and Camp Lejeune in general. The following areas of concern I feel we should give consideration to in the next few weeks, or at the upcoming Technical Review Committee Meeting (TRCM). Please distribute this letter to Linda Berry.

- 1. When and how, (logistically/administratively/technically), should investigations of the TCE contaminated water in Wallace Creek (upstream of Site 6) be addressed.
- 2. I would like a follow up report on continued progress and data findings at sites 6, 9, and 48, prior to the TRCM.

3. I look forward to discussing Draft Project Plans for O.U. # 1 and 5, and, on a preliminary basis, ARAR's and potential remediation alternatives for sites 3, 7, 44, 43, 54, 63, 65, 80, and 82.

alacaethiaidh acideb

- 4. I request an agenda be provided for the December 9, 1992 Technical Review Committee Meeting.
- 5. We request that we be made aware of concerns, and any future developments, raised by the former marine, Mr. Roger Johnston, concerning buried drums.
- 6. As I mentioned in previous correspondence, should the Community Relations Plan be updated in any way for the Interim Remedial Action Record of Decision, for the Shallow Aquifer at Hadnot Point Industrial Area?
- 7. Regarding the 6 month extension for site 69, the North Carolina Superfund Section feels that the extension should provide ample time to coordinate your activities with USACMDA and provide us with a revised site management schedule. We are, of course, concerned by the sentence in your 19 October 1992 letter reading, "However, USACMDA representatives have indicated they are currently working on higher priority projects and are unsure when they will be available to provide assistance for revision of the RI/FS Project Plans and for field work at site 69".

We note to you the language from CERCLA Section 120 ("Federal Facilities"), subdivision (e) (3): "Remedial actions at facilities subject to interagency agreements under this section shall be completed as expeditiously as possible".

The North Carolina Superfund Section has a general obligation and duty to expedite, to the extent possible, cleanup activities. Because of this, we look forward to following the progress of your activities with USACMDA and assisting where ever possible.

8. Our letter to you of 26 October 1992 represents our comments to the Draft Interim Remedial Design Project Plans for the shallow aquifer at the Hadnot Point Industrial Park. It was an oversight that this correspondence was not transmitted to you certified mail, return receipt requested, as specified in the FFA. Future comments to Privy Documents will be transmitted to you as specified in the FFA. Please confirm in writing that you acknowledge receipt of our 26 October 1992 comments.

If you have any questions or comments, please contact me at (919) 733-2801.

Sincerely,

rigi i e nambabili

E. Peter Burger, P.E.

NC Superfund Section

cc: Jack Butler, NC Superfund Section
Michelle Glenn, US EPA
George Radford, MCB Camp Lejeune

Rob Gelblum, NC Attorney General's Office