12.06-12/04/91-00069



#### DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

THE SALES AND ASSESSED.

7 1.000 PX (20 E)

HEADQUARTERS, U.S. ARMY ARMAMENT. MUNITIONS AND CHEMICAL COMMAND ROCK ISLAND, ILLINOIS 61299-6000



REPLY TO ATTENTION OF

AMSMC-SR (415-10a)

0 4 DEC 1991

MEMORANDUM FOR Commander, Atlantic Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, ATTN: 1822(L. Boucher), Norfolk, VA 23511-6287

SUBJECT: Chemical Surety Material Removed From a Dump Site

- 1. Reference: Letter, LANTNAVFACENGCOM, 19 Nov 91, SAB.
- 2. Relative to paragraph 3 of the original letter:
  - a. Paragraph 3a. Yes these are accurate statements.
- (1) If DOD intent is to do site characterization in an area that has CSM, then all of the planning; i.e. recovery, transportation, emergency response, public/political notification, permitting for storage and movement, etc., must be approved and in place prior to start of work. This situation is different than a finding by unsuspecting excavation crew which finds CSM by accident in the public domain.
- (2) Department of the Army to date, has not ruled on whether CSM which was buried, as an accepted means of disposal, must also be considered hazardous waste. Redstone Arsenal environmentalists have declared some suspect CSM items as hazardous waste. When the declaration was made, USATEU personnel could only package the CSM but could not transport it or take it to a CSM storage site because hazardous waste regulation requires that hazardous waste material being moved/stored must be characterized.
- b. Paragraph 3b. The document which provides that EOD removes items is AR 75-14, Interservice Responsibilities for Explosive Ordnance Disposal, 25 Sep 73. The USATEU has EOD and other assets to deal with chemicals so they are usually the ones to respond.

AMSMC-SR

SUBJECT: Chemical Surety Material Removed From a Dump Site

(金) 変量性

naro en lasc**ida**s en adada

- c. Paragraph 3c. Yes, IAW AR 50-6 and AR 190-59, CSM can be stored. However, the proper storage conditions are expensive to establish and maintain. They are (in general):
  - 1. Lighted storage area.
  - 2. 24 hour guards, with backup guards.
  - 3. Double fence.
  - 4. Locked storage area.
- d. Paragraph 3d. Chemical surety material per se is not a hazardous waste. The only munition that has been declared by the Army as hazardous waste are M55 Rockets. Items found in the ground have also been declared to be hazardous waste at one Army site (Redstone Arsenal see 2.a.(2) above).
- e. Paragraph 3e. The regulated storage issue is still under consideration. As superfund time-lines such as the Navy's at MCB Camp Lejeune occur, this will force a resolution.
- f. Paragraph 3f. Recently the Army decided to establish a program manager for demilitarization for other than chemical stockpile munitions; i.e., "ash and trash". Exactly how this group will operate has yet to be determined. The Navy situation with an EPA deadline is the same as has been experienced by:

1. Ogden, UT Ogden Depot	DERA site
--------------------------	-----------

- 2. Virgin Islands Water Island Formerly Used
  Defense Site
  (FUDS)
- 3. Mead, NB Nebraska FUDS Ordnance Depot
- 3. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Huntsville Division has been dealing with the EPA on a site by site basis since their mission is oversight of FUDS. U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency has also been dealing with this issue; example, Ogden, UT.

AMSMC-SR

SUBJECT: Chemical Surety Material Removed From a Dump Site

11.41 1.88948 B.H

- 4. The attached recent letter from Region III of the EPA suggests a frank discussion between the Army and EPA of the problem and solutions is needed.
- 5. The point of contact for this action is the undersigned or Mrs. Betty Peterson, AMSMC-SR, DSN 793-3193/4744.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

Encl

CALVIN T. WOODARD

Major, CM

erdines erdedelikki erdeki k

Surety Officer

CF: AMSMC-DM - 1世年**世**祖末



#### REGION III 841 Chestnut Building Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107

illin va limilli i illini

NOV 08 1991

Commander, U. S. Army Armament Munitions and Chemical Command Rock Island, IL 61299-6000

Dear Sirs:

In anticipation of the promulgation of Subpart K to the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan ("NCP"), and as a follow-up to the United States Environmental Protection Agency's ("EPA") letter to the Secretary of Defense, attached, EPA Region III is contacting federal agencies, federal facilities and other federal entities ("federal parties") in order to inform those federal parties of their responsibilities regarding consultation with EPA. This letter is in respect to response actions undertaken by federal parties under the auspices of their authorities pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA").

In EPA Region III, the Office of Superfund in the Hazardous Waste Management Division has the responsibility for implementation of EPA authorities under CERCLA, and the NCP. Specifically, Region III's Superfund Removal Branch has the responsibility of performing CERCLA Emergency, Time Critical and Non-Time Critical Removal activities. As you may be aware, EPA's Region III encompasses five states, Pennsylvania, Maryland, Delaware, Virginia and West Virginia, and the District of Columbia.

Pursuant to Executive Order 12580, the Army has been delegated response authority "with respect to releases or threatened releases where either the release is on or the sole source of the release is from any facility or vessel under the jurisdiction, custody or control of their departments". In addition, the NCP, CERCLA and Section 211 of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 96-510 ("SARA") (the Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERP)), require EPA consultation at all federal facility CERCLA responses.

In an attempt to improve the lines of communication between your agency and EPA, this letter outlines those instances during federal facility response activities where EPA contact is required. Included in each "bullet" is the applicable legal citation.

• For all releases of hazardous substances the Army must notify the National Response Center - 40 CFR Section 300.405(b).

Enel 1

• For each CERCLA action where EPA has not been previously notified, including the commencement of any studies, notice must be provided to EPA - CERCLA Section 120(c)(1), Section 211 of

11.000.40040

SARA.

- For each removal action considered, the Army should perform a removal site evaluation following the procedures in 40 CFR Section 300.410. As a result of that removal evaluation the Army must determine if the criteria for a removal action pursuant to 40 CFR Section 300.415(b)(2) have been met. At that time EPA must be given an opportunity for review and comment. Section 211 of SARA, 40 CFR Section 300.410, 40 CFR Section 300.415.
- EPA must be consulted on all removal actions. The Army may be relieved of its obligation to consult with EPA if the action is an emergency removal action (those actions where a release requires that response actions begin on-site within hours) and consultation with EPA is impracticable. As soon as possible thereafter, the Army must inform EPA of the removal action Section 211 of SARA, CERCLA Section 120(c).

Region III is aware that in this present climate of heightened environmental concern, the amount of response activities, including removal activities, conducted by federal agencies has dramatically increased. By their nature removal activities proceed at a faster pace and oftentimes involve the remediation and/or removal of large quantities of waste. EPA Region III is concerned that the Region remain informed about all removal actions conducted within the Region. Notification to EPA of the above category of responses will help accomplish this goal.

Region III's point of contact regarding removal actions at federal facilities is:

Mr. Terry A Stilman On-Scene Coordinator Removal Branch U.S. EPA Region III 841 Chestnut Building Philadelphia, PA 19107 Working together, EPA and the Army can help ensure that all removals in Region III are done in accordance with all applicable laws. If you have any question or concerns please feel free to contact me or Terry Stilman of my staff at (215) 597-6686. Thank you in advance for your cooperation in this important matter.

Sincerely,

Abraham Ferdas, Associate Director

Superfund Office

Hazardous Waste Management Division

cc: Rick Newsome, Assisstant for Environmental Restoration Department of the Army



# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OCT | 8 1991

1120 11 12 18 1 1 Mari

OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT

Thomas Baca
Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (Environment)
OASD (P&L)
Washington, D.C. 20301-8000

Re: Department of Defense (DoD) Consultation with EPA

Dear Mr. Baca:

Recently, concerns have been raised within EPA regarding the number of removals DoD may be conducting without prior consultation with EPA. EPA is well aware that the number of response activities, including removal activities, conducted by the DoD has increased dramatically. It is encouraging to see the number of response actions being undertaken in an effort to improve the environment. EPA supports DoD's conducting removal actions where appropriate. Working together, EPA and DoD can help ensure that all removals are done in accordance with applicable laws.

In an attempt to enhance the lines of communication between DoD and EPA and to achieve better cleanups, I have attached to this letter a copy of EPA guidance dated February 28, 1989 entitled "Notice of Environmental Restoration Activities at Department of Defense Facilities." You may be well aware of this document, but I am bringing it to your attention in order to stress how important coordination is to EPA. Based on past experience, I believe that DoD deems coordination to be just as important. Based on this belief, I request that we meet in the near future to discuss coordination of removal actions.

Should you have any concerns or questions, please call me at (202) 260-9801. Thank you in advance for cooperation in this important matter.

Sincerely,

Gordon M. Davidson, Director

Office of Federal Facilities Enforcement

#### Enclosure

cc: Edward E. Reich Scott Fulton

William White Bruce Diamond

Waste Management Division Directors, Regions I-X

Federal Facilities Coordinators, Regions I-X

Lisa Lubick

Lewis D. Walker

Jacqueline Schafer

Gary Vest



### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

## FEB 28 1989

FRIGING ASTE AND EMERGENCH BEGING

#### MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Notice of Environmental Restoration Activities at

Department of Defense Facilities

1.0 0 823080849.

FROM: Christopher Grundler, Director

Federal Facilities Hazardous Waste Compliance Office

TO: Sup

Superfund Enforcement Branch Chiefs

Regions I-X

Regional Counsel Hazardous Waste Branch Chiefs

Regions I-X

The purpose of this memo is to draw your attention to the notice provision of Section 211 of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986. Section 211 describes the Secretary of Defense's responsibilities under the Department of Defense's (DoD) Environmental Restoration Program. In particular, Section 2705 outlines the Secretary of Defense's responsibility to provide notice and opportunity to comment to EPA and the states on proposals for response activities at Federal facilities to address releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances at a Federal facility.

#### BACKGROUND

During the EPA workshop on CERCLA Section 120 Federal Facility Agreements held in September 1988, there was a great deal of discussion on the use of removal authorities at Federal facilities. Specifically, many Regions wanted to know how these activities should be incorporated into the overall remediation plan for a Federal facility since Executive Order 12580 delegates the authority to conduct on-site non-emergency removal actions to the Federal agencies. [The responsibility to conduct on-site emergency removal actions has only been delegated to the Department of Defense and the Department of Energy (DOE)].

The Regions expressed concern about cases where Federal agencies were not notifying EPA of removal actions before or at the time they were taken. Since removal activities can have a significant impact on the overall facility clean-up plan, the Regions wanted to know how EPA could compel Federal agencies to coordinate the removal actions with EPA prior to implementation to assure consistency with the final remodula action.

### STATUTORY REQUIREMENT FOR NOTIFICATION AND CONSULTATION

Subsection 2705(a) of Section 211 of SARA requires the Secretary of Defense to notify EPA and appropriate State and local authorities of each of the following situations:

(1) The discovery of releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances at the facility.

ming and he will be a state.

- (2) The extent of the threat to public health and the environment which may be associated with any such release or threatened release.
- (3) Proposals made by the Secretary to carry out response actions with respect to any such release or threatened release.
- (4) The initiation of any response action with respect to such release or threatened release and the commencement of each distinct phase of such activities.

In addition, subsection 2705(b)(l) requires that the Secretary of Defense ensure that EPA and State and local authorities have an adequate opportunity to comment on release notices under (l) and (2) listed above. Pursuant to subsection 2705(b)(2), EPA and the states must have adequate opportunity for timely review and comment on proposals for all response actions referred to in (3) and (4) above and before undertaking any activity or action referred to in (4). The opportunity for review and comment is required unless the action is an emergency removal taken because of imminent and substantial endangerment to human health or the environment and consultation would be impractical. We construe this to mean time-critical emergency response actions.

It is important to note that Section 2705 applies to non-NPL as well as NPL sites. It also applies to <u>all</u> response actions, though only removal actions are highlighted in this memorandum. Regions and states may use this authority to review and comment on response actions being taken at non or pre-NPL (i.e., in the NPL scoring pipeline) facilities that EPA or the states consider significant.

#### INCORPORATION INTO FFAS

In conclusion, Section 2705 makes it clear that EPA has a statutory basis for requiring review of proposed removal actions prior to implementation of these actions at DoD facilities. Although EPA Headquarters has no plans to negotiate model language for removal actions with DoD, Regions should include removal

provisions in Federal Facility Agreements. The actual language for the removal provision can be worked out in the context of site-specific negotiations.

THE TRANSPORT OF THE PARTY.

1.3 194.88884

To clarify the EPA and state oversight role for removal actions at Federal facilities, some Regions are including a special provision on removal actions in site-specific Federal Facility Agreements. (See Attachments 1 and 2).

#### REMOVAL ACTIONS AT NON-DOD FACILITIES

As Section 2705 of SARA was written specifically for DOD, EFA and the states have a narrower legal basis for seeking a role in the review process for non-emergency removals at non-DOD facilities. CERCLA Section 120(c) requires that information submitted under Section 3016 of RCRA be supplemented by "notice of each subsequent action taken under this Act with respect to the facility." Although this authority requires Federal agencies to give notice of activities, it does not give EPA the authority to intervene to prevent an inappropriate response.

The best argument for EPA and the states to use for carving out a role for the regulators in the review process of non-emergency removals at non-DOD facilities is that of consistency. It can be argued that <u>all</u> proposed actions at a NPL facility must be reviewed by EPA and the state to ensure consistency with the final remedial action.

#### CONTACTS

If you have any questions or comments, please feel free to call me at FTS-475-9801 or contact your regional coordinator in the Federal Facilities Hazardous Waste Compliance Office.

#### Attachments

CC: CERCLA Federal Facility Contacts
Ivy Main, OGC
Lee Herwig, OFA

ROUTING AND	TRANSMITTAL SLIP	14	Nov 91	
TO: (Name, office symbol building, Agency/Pos			Initials	Date
1. AMSMC-GS	KENNARD G.	KARR		(
2. AMSMC-CS X	Colonel, GS	,	18	مروط
. AMSMC-GCGPR	Cities or cita	1 8 NOV	1991	
. AMSMC-CG				
Action	File	Note	Note and Return	
Approval	For Clearance	Per	Per Conversation	
As Requested	For Correction	Prep	Prepare Reply	
Circulate	For Your Information	See	See Me	
Comment	Investigate	Sign	Signature	
Coordination	Justify	<del></del>		

Date

REMARKS

SUBJECT: U.S. EPA Guidance

2 Copies Info:

DPO

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposal clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Name org. symbol Agency/Post)
GREGORY S. MILLER

Major, GS

Room No.—Bldg.

Secretary of the General Staff

Phone No. DSN 793-5621

GPO : 1987 O - 170-636

OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76) Prescribed by GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

#### **ADDRESSES**

- Din States and Lands

Colonel James R. Van Epps, Commander U.S. Army Engineering District Huntington, CEORH 502 8th Street Huntington, WV 25701-2070

(1) 公司等等等等

Colonel James P. King, Commander U.S. Army Engineering District Nashville, CEORN P.O. Box 1070
Nashville, TN 37202-1070

Colonel Harold F. Alvord, Commander U.S. Army Engineering District, Pittsburgh, CEORP Room 1828
William S. Moorehead Federal Building 1000 Liberty Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15222-4186

Colonel Frank R. Finch, Commander U.S. Army Engineering District, Baltimore, CENAB P.O. Box 1715
Baltimore, MD 21203-1715

Colonel Thomas B. Reth, Commander U.S. Army Engineering Activity, Capital Area, CENAC ATTN: NAC Fort Myer, VA 22211-5050

Colonel Richard C. Johns, Commander U.S. Army Engineering District, Norfolk, CENAO 803 Front Street Norfolk, VA 23510-1096

Lieutenant Colonel Kenneth H. Clow, Commander U.S. Army Engineering District, Philadelphia, CENAP U.S. Custom House 2nd & Chestnut Streets Philadelphia, PA 19106-2991

Colonel John W. Morris, Commander U.S. Army Engineering District, Buffalo, CENCB 1776 Niagara Street Buffalo, NY 14207-3199

Colonel Stewart H. Bornhoft, Commander U.S. Army Engineering District, Omaha, CEMRO 215 North 17th Street Omaha, NE 68102-4978

Brigadier General Eugene S. Witherspoon, Commander U.S. Army Engineering Division, Missouri River, CEMRD

P.O. Box 103 Downtown Station

Omaha, NE 68101-0103

Colonel Phillip L. Hall, Commander U.S. Army Engineering Division, Huntsville, CEHND P.O Box 1600 Hunstville, AL 35807-4301

eter) e samanata

anstalahin tans

Lieutenant Colonel Thomas C. Suermann, Commander U.S. Army Engineering District, Wilmington, CESAW P.O.Box 1890
Wilmington, NC 28402-1890

Commander,

U. S. Army Facilities Engineering Support Agency Building 358 Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060-5516

Commander,

U. S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5401

Commander,

U. S. Army Communications Command Ft. Huachuca, AZ 85613

Commander,

U. S. Army Strategic Defense Command PO Box 1500 Hunstville, AL 35807

Commander,

U. S. Army Material Command 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexdria, VA 22333-0001

Commander,

U. S. Army Aviations System Command 4300 Goodfellow Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63120-1798

/ Commander,

U. S. Army Armament Munitions and Chemical Command Rock Island, IL 61299-6000

Commander,

U. S. Army Depot System Command Chambersburg, PA 17201

Commander

U. S. Army Laboratory Command 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1145 Commander, U. S. Army Missile Command Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5000

Commander, U. S. Army Tank Automotive Command Warren, MI 48397-5000

Commander, U. S. Army Test and Evaluation Command Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

自己 一年 用建筑建筑。

COMPRESIDENT FAMILY

Commander, U. S. Army Troop Support Command Federal Center 4300 Goodfellow Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63120-1798

Commander, U. S. Army Forces Command Ft. McPherson, GA 30330

Commander, U. S. Army Western Command Fort Shafter, Hawaii 96858-5100

Commander, U. S. Army Health Services Command Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234

Commander, U. S. Army Medical Research and Development Command Fort Detrick, MD 21701

Commander, U. S. Army Environmental Agency Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5422

Commander,
U. S. Army Intelligence and Security Command
Chief Installations Division
Arlington Hall Station
Arlington, VA 22212-5000

Commander, U. S. Army Military Traffic Management Command 5611 Columbia Pike Falls Church, VA 22041-5050

Commander, U. S. Army Training and Doctrine Command Fort Monroe, VA 23651